

# TITLE PAGE

## A QUARTER OF A CENTURY OF INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOPS ON SEISMIC ANISOTROPY IN THE EARTH'S CRUST (0IWSA – 12IWSA)

STUART CRAMPIN<sup>1,2,3</sup> and YUAN GAO<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Shear-Wave Analysis Group, School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JW, Scotland UK; [scrampin@ed.ac.uk](mailto:scrampin@ed.ac.uk)*

<sup>2</sup>*also at Edinburgh Anisotropy Project, British Geological Survey, Murchison House, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3LA, Scotland UK.*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Earthquake Science, China Earthquake Administration, 63 Fuxing Road, 100036 Beijing, China; [gaoyuan@seis.ac.cn](mailto:gaoyuan@seis.ac.cn)*

Page heading: A quarter of a century of IWSA

Intended for publication in: *J. Seism. Explor.*

Address for correspondence:

Stuart Crampin  
Shear-Wave Analysis Group, School of GeoSciences  
University of Edinburgh  
Grant Institute, West Mains Road  
Edinburgh EH9 3JW, SCOTLAND UK

tel: +44 131 650 4908  
fax: +44 131 688 3184  
email: [scrampin@ed.ac.uk](mailto:scrampin@ed.ac.uk)  
web: <http://www.geos.ed.ac.uk/homes/scrampin/opinion/>

# A QUARTER OF A CENTURY OF INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOPS ON SEISMIC ANISOTROPY IN THE EARTH'S CRUST (0IWSA – 12IWSA)

STUART CRAMPIN<sup>1,2,3</sup> and YUAN GAO<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Shear-Wave Analysis Group, School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JW, Scotland UK; [scrampin@ed.ac.uk](mailto:scrampin@ed.ac.uk)*

<sup>2</sup>*also at Edinburgh Anisotropy Project, British Geological Survey, Murchison House, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3LA, Scotland UK.*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Earthquake Science, China Earthquake Administration, 63 Fuxing Road, 100036 Beijing, China; [gaoyuan@seis.ac.cn](mailto:gaoyuan@seis.ac.cn)*

(Received: XXth December, 2006)

## ABSTRACT

Crampin, S. and Gao, Y., 2006. A quarter of a century of International Workshops on Seismic Anisotropy in the Earth's crust (0IWSA – 12IWSA). *J. Seism. Explor.*, XX: YY-ZZ.

In just over twenty five years, the presence of azimuthally-varying seismic anisotropy in the Earth's crust has progressed from general denial to universal acceptance. Over this period, the proceedings of the biennial series of International Workshops in Seismic Anisotropy (IWSAs) have captured almost all the notable advances in the theory, calculation, observation, and interpretation of particularly shear-wave splitting (seismic birefringence) - the almost infallible indicator of seismic anisotropy along the ray path. This paper briefly reviews thirteen meetings (0IWSA – 12IWSA) as a catalogue of twenty-five of progress in seismic anisotropy. The evidence now suggests that shear-wave splitting monitors the low-level pre-fracturing deformation of the stress-aligned fluid-saturated microcracks pervading most *in situ* rocks in the crust. Shear-wave splitting indicates that microcracks are so closely spaced they are critical systems with all the universality, calculability, predictability, "butterfly wing's" sensitivity and deterministic chaos at criticality, that that implies. This leads to a *New Geophysics*, where low-level deformation can be monitored with shear-wave splitting, future behaviour calculated/predicted with the anisotropic poro-elastic (APE) model of rock evolution and, at least in some circumstances, future behaviour controlled by feedback.

**KEYWORDS:** anisotropy; International Workshops on Seismic Anisotropy; IWSAs; shear-wave splitting; the New Geophysics.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The first International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, 1IWSA, was in Suzdal, USSR, in 1982. The next, 2IWSA in 1986 in Moscow, was the first of twenty years of biennial meetings leading to 12IWSA, 2006, in Beijing. There was also a precursory meeting in 1975 in Paris that can justifiably be labelled 0IWSA. The continuing series of biennial IWSA have been in various countries, organised by various people, and sponsored by various organisations. Details are listed in Table 1. The proceedings of over twenty five years of IWSA meetings have captured most of the significant markers spanning the understanding of seismic azimuthal anisotropy. Nowadays, stress-aligned seismic anisotropy is widely recognised in almost all rocks in the crust and upper mantle, so that international meetings, in both earthquake and particularly exploration seismology, typically have sessions on seismic anisotropy. Here we briefly review the past half century of research into seismic azimuthal anisotropy and shear-wave splitting as marked by

IWSA meetings. This review is timely, as it is now argued that the crack-critical crust, as revealed by shear-wave splitting, leads to a *New Geophysics* and a fundamental revision of solid earth geoscience.

The proceedings of IWSA meetings (including 0IWSA) were published in a variety of international research journals listed in Table 1. These various proceedings contain many papers crucial to the development of the theory, observation, and interpretation of seismic anisotropy, and outline the overall development of particularly azimuthal anisotropy in both earthquake and exploration seismology. Initially, IWSA meetings were almost entirely devoted to earthquake seismology. After 3IWSA however, oil company sponsorship led to the almost complete dominance of exploration seismology. The reduction in earthquake studies was probably an overall loss to seismic anisotropy (and to IWSA). We need to gain information about inaccessible subsurface rocks from as many sources as possible. The difference in pore-fluids between hydrocarbons and water-based salt solutions probably makes little difference to the structure or behaviour of fluid-saturated cracks, except during hydrocarbon production procedures. Table 2 summarises the thirteen IWSA meetings and identifies papers, both at IWSA meetings and elsewhere, that have had a significant effect on the development of seismic azimuthal anisotropy in the crust. A more detailed review is in Appendix A of the Supplementary Material, which also contains Appendix B reporting how the IWSA workshops began.

Almost all the important papers in anisotropy have appeared in IWSA Proceedings. The two principal exceptions being Thomsen (1986) defining the *Thomsen parameters* convenient for describing reflection surveys in transverse isotropy of sedimentary sequences in seismic exploration. Thomsen (1986) is the most highly-cited paper in *Geophysics*. The other exception is another highly-cited paper is an early review of wave propagation in cracked and anisotropic rocks (Crampin, 1981).

For convenience, in this review and in the supplementary material, citations and references to papers published in IWSA proceedings are marked with an asterisk.

## 2 INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOPS ON SEISMIC ANISOTROPY

Table 2 summarises what are currently thought to be the more significant papers at each of the thirteen workshops 0IWSA to 12IWSA. Appendix A of the Supplementary Material contains a more comprehensive summary of each Workshop.

## 3 DISCUSSION

There have been some 250 papers published in the proceedings of 0IWSA to 12IWSA. The summary in Table 2 cites papers which are believed to be significant for the continuing development of shear-wave splitting and seismic anisotropy, usually because they were first observations, first analyses, first interpretations, or were an important lead to continuing developments. With ten or more years' hindsight, one can confidently recognise the significant papers. Recent papers tend to be smaller advances over narrower fronts, whose significance is more difficult to assess. Consequently, this review is necessarily a rather subjective selection. There are many excellent papers in the recent proceedings that are too numerous to cite individually in Appendix A in the Supplementary Material, and we apologise to those authors whose papers have been omitted.

What are believed to be the most significant advances are summarised in Table 2 (with a more extensive review in Appendix A in the Supplementary Material). Each IWSA tends to be unique with the principle subject matter changing from one workshop to the next. Almost all significant developments in shear-wave splitting have appeared in IWSA proceedings, and several of the few omitted papers have been cited in this review. One of the principle benefits of IWSA workshops has been that all the major research workers in anisotropy have known (and

trusted) each other. Consequently, workshops have been comparatively open, so that most impending developments have been immediately known to the whole research community of 'anisotropists'. (Unfortunately on occasions, but not too frequently, oil company employees have pleaded commercial confidentiality.) This has meant that frequently, without prior consultation, several, sometimes many, people work on similar topics, so that IWSA meetings often have a dominating topic of research. This has no doubt contributed to the comparatively rapid advance, which has taken anisotropy, particularly shear-wave splitting, from the first occasional observations in the crust, to observations worldwide, and an understanding of fluid-rock deformation in a critical system of closely-spaced fluid-saturated microcracks in almost all rocks in the crust.

Note that the Society of Exploration Geophysicists has promoted much of the research in seismic anisotropy and shear-wave splitting. Its own annual meetings have had many sessions on anisotropy. It has sponsored several IWSAs, and has published proceedings or abstracts of several IWSAs (Table 1). SEG awarded three Virgil Kauffman Gold Medals for research in anisotropy: 1988, Stuart Crampin; 1990, Rusty Alford; and 1996, Ilya Tsvankin. In 2002 Leon Thomsen presented a Distinguished Short Course on anisotropy, and in 2004 Heloise B. Lynn was Distinguished Lecturer on anisotropy. Leon Thomsen is currently President of SEG - an honour to Thomsen, but also to anisotropy and IWSA.

Note that Joe Dellinger of BP maintains an Internet email address where information can be posted on an Anisotropists Digest list: register at <anisotropists-request@freeusp.org>. The Digest greatly aids communication between 'anisotropists'.

#### 4 CONCLUSIONS

IWSAs have reported observations of particularly shear-wave splitting with very similar characteristics over an enormous range of frequencies from: 0.05-2.0Hz in the upper mantle in higher-mode surface-waves; 2-20Hz above crustal earthquakes; 10-80Hz in reflection seismology; 10-200Hz in VSPs; and kHz in sonic logs; to mHz in laboratory measurements - over seven orders of magnitude. Since such universality and calculability are characteristic of critical systems (Bruce and Wallace, 1989), these observations are comparatively direct evidence that critical systems of fluid-saturated cracks are extensive all-embracing phenomena throughout most rocks in the crust (\*Crampin, 1998; \*Crampin and Chastin, 2001; \*Crampin et al., 2003; \*Crampin and Gao, 2006a; Crampin, 2006).

As \*Vikhorev et al. (2006) suggests, this covers an enormous range of lineament dimensions, and indeed, as Heffer and Bevan (1990) observed, the frequency and lengths of microcracks, cracks, fractures, faults, and geological lineaments are self-similar over some ten orders-of-magnitude. This is related to the other outstanding self-similar distribution in geophysics, the Gutenberg-Richter relationship between frequency and magnitude of earthquakes, which is approximately linear over some eight orders-of-magnitude. For the first time we recognise that the deformation of fluid-saturated microcracks is the physical reality underlying the Gutenberg-Richter relationship.

In the earth there is a continuum of all scales of ordered heterogeneities, from 0.01mm of microcracks to 100s of km plate boundaries in critical systems. The implications of critical systems are that low-level deformation before fracturing takes place: can be *monitored* with shear-wave splitting; future behaviour *calculated* by APE; even *predicted* if changing conditions are known, as in Angerer et al. 2002); and in some circumstances future behaviour potentially *controlled* by feedback (\*Crampin and Chastin, 2001; Crampin, 2006). If correct, and the series of IWSAs presents overwhelming evidence that it is, then critical systems are such a fundamental advance in understanding fluid-rock (pre-fracturing) deformation in solid Earth geoscience that they amount to a New Geophysics.

A large proportion of papers by exploration seismologists presented at IWSAs have been about observing, processing and calculating the effects of anisotropy and shear-wave splitting, with much of the emphasis how anisotropy effects conventional isotropic processing: and how

conventional processing for structure and stratigraphy is affected by anisotropy. The New Geophysics suggests that the *implications* of shear-wave anisotropy for fluid-rock interaction are probably crucially important for hydrocarbon production, as well as their effects on fluid-rock deformation in almost all other solid earth geoscience.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Klaus Helbig, Sheila Peacock, Ivan Pšenčík, Michael Slawinski, and Leon Thomsen for information about IWSA workshops that neither of us attended. We particularly thank Heloise Lynn for her valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

The list also contains references cited in the Supplementary Material.

- Alford, R.M., 1986. Dilley, Texas. 56<sup>th</sup> Ann. Internat. Soc. Expl. Geophys. Mtg, Houston, Expanded Abst, 476-379.
- Ando, M., Ishikawa, Y. and Wada, H., 1980. S-wave anisotropy in the upper mantle under a volcanic area in Japan. *Nature*, 286: 43-46.
- Angerer, E., Crampin, S., Li, X.-Y. and Davis, T.L., 2002. Processing, modelling, and predicting time-lapse effects of overpressured fluid-injection in a fractured reservoir. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 149: 267-280.
- \*Artemieva, I.M. and Chesnokov, E.M., 1991. Thermal characteristics of anisotropic media with inclusions. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 557-562.
- \*Bakulin, A. and Calvert, R., 2006. Renaissance of shear-wave seismic by virtual source method: 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., 88-89.
- \*Bamford, D., 1977. Pn velocity anisotropy in a continental upper mantle. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 29-48.
- \*Booth, D.C., Crampin, S., Lovell, J.H. and Ch, J.-M., 1990. Temporal changes in shear wave splitting during and earthquake swarm in Arkansas. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,151-11,164.
- \*Brodov, L.Y., Tikkonov, A.A., Chesnokov, E.M., Tertychnyi, V.V. and Zatsepin, S.V., 1991. Estimating physical parameters of cracked-porous oil reservoirs by inverting shear-wave splitting. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 429-432.
- \*Brown, R.J., Lawton, D.C. and Cheadle, S.P., 1991. Scaled physical modelling of anisotropic wave propagation: multioffset profiles over an orthorhombic medium. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 693-702.
- Bruce, A. and Wallace, D., 1989. Critical point phenomena: universal physics at large length scale. In: Davis P. (Ed.), *The New Physics*. Cambridge Univ. Press., 236-267.
- \*Bush, I. and Crampin, S., 1991. Paris Basin VSPs: case history establishing combinations of matrix- and crack-anisotropy from modelling shear wavefields near point singularities. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 433-447.
- \*Chapman, M., 2006. Use of *P*-wave attenuation anisotropy as a fracture indicator. 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., 33-34.
- \*Chapman, M., Maultzsch, S., Liu, E. and Li, X.-Y., 2003. The effect of fluid saturation in an anisotropic multi-scale equant porosity model. *Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 1.91-202.
- \*Chen, T.-C., Booth, D.C. and Crampin, 1987. Shear-wave polarizations near the North Anatolian Fault – III. Observations of temporal changes. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 287-311.
- \*Chesnokov, E.M., Kukharenko, Y.A. and Kukharenko, P.Y., 1998. Frequency dependence of physical parameters of microinhomogeneous media. *Space statistic. Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 729-734.
- Crampin, S., 1966. Higher modes of seismic surface waves: propagation in Eurasia. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 56: 1227-1239.

- Crampin, S., 1978. Seismic wave propagation through a cracked solid: polarization as a possible dilatancy diagnostic. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 53: 467-496.
- Crampin, S., 1981. A review of wave motion in anisotropic and cracked elastic-media. *Wave Motion*, 3: 343-391.
- \*Crampin, S., 1984b. Effective anisotropic elastic-constants for wave propagation through cracked solids. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 135-145.
- \*Crampin, S., 1991. Effects of point singularities on shear-wave propagation in sedimentary basins. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 531-543.
- \*Crampin, S., 1993a. Arguments for EDA. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 18-30.
- \*Crampin, S., 1993b. A review of the effects of crack geometry on wave propagation through aligned cracks. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 3-17.
- Crampin, S., 1994. The fracture criticality of crustal rocks. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 118: 428-438.
- \*Crampin, S., 1998. Shear-wave splitting in a critical crust: the next step. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 749-763.
- Crampin, S., 2006. The New Geophysics: a new understanding of fluid-rock deformation. In *Eurock 2006: Multiphysics coupling and long term behaviour in rock mechanics*. Van Cotthem, A., Charlier, R., Thimus, J.-F. and Tshibangu, J.-P. (eds.), Taylor and Francis, London, 539-544.
- \*Crampin, S. and Chastin, S., 2001. Shear- wave splitting in a critical crust: II - compliant, calculable, controllable fluid-rock interactions. In *Ikelle, L., Gangi, A. (eds.), Anisotropy 2000, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ.*, 6: 21-48.
- Crampin, S. and Gao, Y., 2006a. A review of techniques for measuring shear-wave splitting above small earthquakes, *Phys. Earth. Planet. Inter.*, 159: 1-14.
- \*Crampin, S. and Gao, Y., 2006b. The New Geophysics and the future of IWSA. *12IWSA, Expand. Abst.*, 109-110.
- \*Crampin, S. and King, D.W., 1977. Evidence for anisotropy in the upper mantle beneath Eurasia from generalized higher mode surface waves. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 59-85.
- \*Crampin, S. and Lovell, J.H., 1991. A decade of shear-wave splitting in the Earth's crust: what does it mean? what use can we make of it? and what should we do next?. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 387-407.
- Crampin, S., Evans, R., Üçer, B., Doyle, M., Davis, J.P., Yegorkina, G.V. and Miller, A., 1980. Observations of dilatancy-induced polarization anomalies and earthquake prediction. *Nature*, 286: 874-877.
- \*Crampin, S., Evans, R. and Atkinson, B.K., 1984b. Earthquake prediction: a new physical basis. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 147-156.
- Crampin, S., Evans, R. and Üçer, S. B., 1985. Analysis of records of local earthquakes: the Turkish Dilatancy Projects (TDP1 and TDP2), *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 83, 1-16; and five following papers; 17-30; 31-45; 47-60; 61-73; and 75-92.
- \*Crampin, S., Booth, D.C., Evans, R., Peacock, S. and Fletcher, J.B., 1990. Changes in shear-wave splitting at Anza near the time of the North Palm Springs Earthquake. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,197-11,212.
- Crampin, S., Booth, D.C., Evans, R., Peacock, S. and Fletcher, J.B., 1991. Comment on "Quantitative Measurements of Shear Wave Polarizations at the Anza Seismic Network, Southern California. Implications for Shear Wave Splitting and Earthquake Prediction" by R. C. Aster, P. M. Shearer. J. and Berger. J., *Geophys. Res.*, 96: 6403-6414.
- Crampin, S., Volti, T. and Stefánsson, R., 1999. A successfully stress-forecast earthquake. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 138: F1-F5.
- \*Crampin, S., Chastin, S. and Gao, Y., 2003. Shear-wave splitting in a critical crust: III - preliminary report of multi-variable measurements in active tectonics. *Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 265-277.
- \*Crampin, S., Gao, Y., Zatsepin, S., Browitt, C., Keilis-Borok, V., Suyehiro, K. and Walter, L., 2006. GEMS: the opportunity for a global earthquake stress-monitoring system for forecasting all damaging earthquakes worldwide. *11IWSA, Expand. Abst., Geophysics*, 71: 24JF-25JF.

- \*de Parscau, J., 1991. P- and SV-wave transversely isotropic phase velocities analysis from VSP data. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 629-638.
- \*Evans, R., 1984. Effects of the free surface on shear wavetrains. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 165-172.
- \*Galperina, R.M. and Galperin, E.I., 1987. Experimental study of shear-waves from shots in anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 517-533.
- \*Granger, P.-Y., Rollet, A. and Bonnett, J.-M., 2001. Preliminary evaluation of azimuthal anisotropy over the Valhall Field using C-wave data. In: Ikelle, L., Gangi, A. (Eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 6: 49-67. ,
- \*Gray, D., 2006. Observations of azimuthal anisotropy in prestacked seismic data: 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., 44-45.
- \*Guest, W.S. and Kendall, J.-M., 1993. Modelling seismic waveforms in anisotropic inhomogeneous media using ray and Maslov asymptotic theory: applications to exploration seismology. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 78-92.
- \*Gurevich, B., 2003. Elastic properties of saturated porous rock with aligned fractures. Spec. Issue, *J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 203-218.
- Heffer, K.J. and Bevan, T.G., 1990. Scaling relationships in natural fractures: data, theory, and application. *Soc. Pet. Eng.*, SPE 20981.
- \*Helbig, K., 1996. Kelvin and the early history of seismic anisotropy. In: Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S., (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy*, Soc. Expl. Geophys., 15-36. .
- \*Helbig, K., 1998. A formalism for the consistent description of non-linear elasticity of anisotropic media. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 693-708.
- Hess, H., 1964. Seismic anisotropy of the uppermost mantle under oceans. *Nature*, 203: 629-631.
- \*Hornby, B.E., 2001. Upscaling: elastic anisotropy from ultrasonic laboratory measurements of borehole seismic surveys. In: Hood, J.A. (ed.), *Advances in Anisotropy: selected theory, modeling, and case studies*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 5: 21-46. .
- \*Horne, S., Macbeth, C. and Queen, J., 1996. Inversion for shear-wave splitting. *in* Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S. (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy*, Soc. Expl. Geophys., 489-517.
- \*Hudson, J.A., 1991. Overall properties of heterogeneous material. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 505-511.
- \*Igel, H., Mora, P. and Riollot, B., 1993. Anisotropic wave propagation through finite-difference grids. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 59-77.
- \*Ikelle, L.T. and Amundsen, L., 2001. AVO-A response of an anisotropic half-space for P-P, P-SV and P-SH data. In: Ikelle, L., Gangi, A. (eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 6: 77-105.
- Kaneshima, S., 1990. Origin of crustal anisotropy: shear-wave splitting studies in Japan. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,121-11,133.
- \*Kebaili, A., Le, L.H and Schmitt, D.R., 1996. Slowness surface determination from slant stack curves. In Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S. (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., 518-555.
- \*Keith, C.M. and Crampin, S., 1977a. Seismic body waves in anisotropic refraction at a plane interface. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 181-208.
- \*Keith, C.M. and Crampin, S., 1977b. Seismic body waves in anisotropic media: propagation through a layer. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 209-223.
- \*Keith, C.M. and Crampin, S., 1977c. Seismic body waves in anisotropic media: synthetic seismograms. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 225-243.
- \*Leary, P., 1991. Deep borehole log evidence for fractal distribution of fractures in crystalline rock. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 615-627.
- \*Leary, P.C., Li, Y.-G. and Aki, K., 1987. Observation and modelling of fault-zone fracture seismic anisotropy – I. P, SV and SH travel times. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 461-484.
- \*Leary, P., Igel, H., Mora, P. and Rodrigues, D., 1993. Finite-difference simulation of trapped wave propagation in fracture anisotropic low-velocity layers. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 31-40.

- \*Li, X.-Y., 1998. Processing PP and PS waves in multicomponent sea-floor data for azimuthal anisotropy: theory and overview. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 607-620.
- \*Li, X.-Y. and Crampin, S., 1991a. Complex component analysis of shear-wave splitting: theory. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 597-604.
- \*Li, X.-Y. and Crampin, S., 1991b. Complex component analysis of shear-wave splitting: case studies. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 605-613.
- \*Li, X.-Y. and Yuan, J., 2003. Converted-wave moveout and conversion-point equations in layered VTI media: theory and applications. *Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 297-318.
- \*Li, Y.-G., Leary, P.C. and Aki, K., 1987. Observation and modelling of fault-zone fracture seismic anisotropy - II: P-wave polarization anomalies. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 485-492.
- \*Li, Y.-G., Leary, P.C. and Aki, K., 1990. Ray series modeling of seismic wave travel times and amplitudes in three-dimensional heterogeneous anisotropic crystalline rock: borehole vertical seismic profiling seismograms from the Mojave Desert. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,225-11,239.
- \*Li, X.-Y., Mueller, M.C. and Crampin, S., 1993. Case studies of shear-wave splitting in reflection surveys in South Texas. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 189-215.
- \*Liu, E., Crampin, S., Queen, J.H. and Rizer, W.D., 1993a. Velocity and attenuation anisotropy caused by microcracks and macrofractures in a multiazimuth reverse VSP. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 117-188.
- \*Liu, Y., Booth, D.C., Crampin, S., Evans, R. and Leary, P., 1993b. Shear-wave polarizations and possible temporal variations in shear-wave splitting at Parkfield. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 380-390.
- \*Liu, E., Li, X.-Y. and Queen, J.H., 2001. Discrimination of pore fluids from P and converted shear-wave AVO analysis. In Ikelle, L., and Gangi, A. (eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*. *Soc. Expl. Geophys.*, Open File Publ., 6: 203-221.
- \*Liu, E., Queen, J.H., Li, X.-Y., Chapman, M., Maultzsch, S., Lynn, H.B. and Chesnokov, E.M., 2003. Observation and analysis of frequency-dependent anisotropy from a multicomponent VSP at Bluebell-Altamont Field, Utah. *Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 319-333.
- \*Liu, E., Chapman, M., Queen, J.H. and Lynn, H., 2006. Kinematic and dynamic anisotropy: implications of seismic fracture characterisations. *12IWSA, Expand. Abst.*, 106-108.
- Lynn, H.B., Thomsen, L.A., 1986. Reflection shear-wave data along the principal axes of azimuthal anisotropy. *56<sup>th</sup> Ann. Internat. Soc. Expl. Geophys. Mtg.*, 1986, Houston, *Expand. Abst.*, 473-476.
- \*Macbeth, C., 1991a. Inverting shear-wave polarizations for anisotropy using three-component offset VSPs: synthetic seismograms. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 571-583.
- \*Macbeth, C., 1991b. Inversion for subsurface anisotropy using estimates of shear-wave splitting. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 585-595.
- \*Mjelde, R., 1996. Seismic anisotropy and its geological implications on the Lofoten (Norway) continental shelf. In Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S. (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy, Soc. Expl. Geophys.*, 684-732.
- \*Mueller, M.C., 1991. Prediction of lateral variability in fracture intensity using multicomponent shear-wave surface seismic as a precursor to horizontal drilling in the Austin chalk. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 409-415.
- Peacock, S., Crampin, S., Booth, D.C. and Fletcher, J.B., 1988. Shear-wave splitting in the Anza seismic gap, Southern California: temporal variations as possible precursors. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93: 3339-3356.
- \*Queen, J.H. and Rizer, W.D., 1990. An integrated study of seismic anisotropy and the natural fracture system at the Conoco Borehole Test Facility, Kay County, Oklahoma. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,255-11,273.
- \*Rasolofosaon, P.N.J. and Yin, H., 1996. Simultaneous characterization of anisotropy and nonlinearity in arbitrary elastic media – reflections on experimental data. In Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S. (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy. Soc. Expl. Geophys.*, 141-179.
- \*Raymer, D.G. and Kendall, J.M., 1998. Seismic anisotropy in salt structures due to preferred crystal orientation. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 585-594.

- \*Savage, M.K., Peppin, W.A. and Vetter, U.R., 1990. Shear-wave anisotropy and stress direction in and near Long Valley Caldera, California, 1979-1988. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 95: 11,165-11,177.
- \*Shih, X.R. and Meyer, R.P., 1990. Observation of shear wave splitting from natural events. South Moat of Long Valley Caldera, California, June 29 to August 12, 1982. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,179-11,195.
- \*Slater, C., Crampin, S., Brodov, L.Y. and Kuznetsov, V.M., 1993. Observations of anisotropic cusps in transversely isotropic clay. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 216-226.
- \*Stawicki, A.W. and Lynn, H.B., 1996. Effects of complex tectonic strain observed in two multi-component multi-azimuth VSPs from Pakistan. In Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S. (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy, Soc. Expl. Geophys.*, 645-683.
- \*Taylor, D.B., 1987. Double contour integration for transmission from point sources through anisotropic layers. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 373-381.
- Taylor, D.B., 1990. ANISEIS Manual, Applied Geophys. Software, [ernm01@holyrood.ed.ac.uk](mailto:ernm01@holyrood.ed.ac.uk)
- Thomsen, L., 1986. Weak elastic anisotropy. *Geophysics*, 51: 1954-1966.
- \*Vikhorev, A.A., Ammerman, M., Bayuk, I., Abaseyev, S. and Chesnokov, E., 2006. Scale dependent anisotropy based upon multiscale data. 11IWSA, Expand. Abst., *Geophysics*, 71: 15JF.
- \*Wild, P. and Crampin, S., 1991. The range of effects of azimuthal isotropy and EDA-anisotropy in sedimentary basins. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 513-529.
- \*Wild, P., Macbeth, C., Crampin, S., Li, X.-Y. and Yardley, G., 1993. Processing and interpreting vector wave-field data. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 117-124.
- \*Yao, C. and Xiong, Y., 1993A. Far-field radiation pattern from an anisotropic dislocation point source. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 315-323.
- \*Yao, C. and Xiong, Y., 1993B. Shear-wave splitting from local earthquakes modelled by synthetic seismograms. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 324-331.
- \*Zhang, Z., He, Q., Teng, J., Wang, A. and Wei, J., 1993. Simulation of 3-component seismic records in a 2-dimensional transversely isotropic medium with finite difference method. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 51-58.
- \*Papers from proceedings of IWSA workshops.

Table 1. The International Workshops on Seismic Anisotropy.

ID	Year	Venue	Organisers	Sponsors	Proceedings	No. of papers	No. of Editors pages
01WSA	1975	Paris	Bamford, D.	IFP and IASPEI	1977 Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 49, 1-243.	14	243 Bamford, D.
11WSA	1982	Suzdal, USSR	Magnitsky, V.A., Chesnokov, E.M. and Crampin, S.	Acad. Sci. USSR, IASPEI and UNESCO	1984 Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 76, 1-272.	28	272 Crampin, S., Hipkin, R.G. and Chesnokov, E.M.
21WSA	1986	Moscow, USSR	Magnitsky, V.A., Chesnokov, E.M. and Crampin, S.	Acad. Sci. USSR, Amoco, IASPEI and UNESCO	1987 Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 78, 261-554.	30	293 Booth, D.C., Crampin, S. and Chesnokov, E.M.
31WSA	1988	Berkeley, CA, USA	Leary, P.C., Crampin, S. and McEvilly, T.V.	AGU, Amoco, Arco, Chapman Conference, Exxon, SEG, Shell, Unocal and Western Atlas	1990 J. Geophys. Res., 95, 11,105-11,358.	30	253 Leary, P.C., Crampin, S. and McEvilly, T.V.
41WSA	1990	Edinburgh, UK	Crampin, S. and Lovell, J.H.	Amoco, Arco, BGS, CGG, Conoco, EAP, Exxon, PSTI, Schlumberger and Western Atlas	1991 Geophys. J. Int., 107, 385-714.	30	329 Crampin, S. and Lovell, J.H.
51WSA	1992	Banff, Canada	Brown, R.J. and Lawton, D.C.	Amoco, Arco, Chevron, Conoco, GREWES, CSPG, Gulf, NSERC, Schlumberger, Shell and Western Atlas	1993 Can. J. Expl. Geophys., 29, 1-390.	35	390 Brown, R.J. and Lawton, D.C.
61WSA	1994	Trondheim, Norway	Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S.	Amoco, Elf, Norsk Hydro, Saga and Statoil,	1996 Seismic Anisotropy, Soc. Expl. Geophys., 1-763.	22	763 Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S.
71WSA	1996	Miami, FL, USA	Berge, P., Cheng, A.H, Hood, J.A., Lynn, H.B. and Schoenberg, M.	Amoco, Chevron, Conoco, Schlumberger and Western Atlas	2001 Advances in Anisotropy: Selected Theory, Modeling and Case Studies, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ. 5, 1-322.	18	322 Hood, J.A.
81WSA	1998	Boussens, France	Arnaud, J., Helbig, K., Rasolofosaon, P. and Thomsen, L.	Amoco, Arco, CGG, Chevron, Elf, Geco-Prakla and IFP	1998 Spec. Issue, Rev. Inst. Franc. Pet., 53, 539-763.	26	224 Rasolofosaon, P.
91WSA	2000	Camp Allen, Houston, TX, USA	Arnaud, J., Dellinger, J., Ikelle, L., Lynn, H., MacBeth, C., Thomsen L. and Tsvankin, I.	BP, Schlumberger, Texas A and M University and Total-Elf	2000 Anisotropy 2000: Fractures, Converted Waves and Case Studies, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ. 6, 1-425.	25	425 Ikelle, L. T. and Gangi, A.
101WSA	2002	Tutzing, Germany	Gajewski, D., Vanelle, C. and Pšenčík, I.	BP, EAGE, IASPEI, PGS, RWE-DEA, Statoil, TotalFinaElf, Veritas and WIT	2003 Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys., 54, 161-454.	23	293 Gajewski, D., Vanelle, C. and Pšenčík, I.
111WSA	2004	St John's, Newfoundland, Canada	Bona, A., Brown, R. J., Harris, J.J., Helbig, K., Kendall, R., Pšenčík, I., Slawinski, M. and Tsvankin, I.	BP, Input/Output and Veritas	2006 Abstracts in Geophysics, 71, 13JF-29JF.	Absts. 43	17 Grechka, V., Helbig, K. and Pšenčík, I.
12WSA	2006	Beijing, China	Ding, Z. L., Gao, Y., Liu, E., Zhang, * Z.-J. and 16 others		2007 This issue.	Absts. 112	

\*China Acad. Sci.; China Earthquake Admin.; China Univ. Mining and Tech.; Edinburgh Anisotropy Project of Brit. Geol. Survey; IASPEI; Inst. Earthquake Sci. of CEA; Inst. Geology and Geophysics of CAS; Jilin Univ.; Nat. Natural. Sci. Foundation of China; SEG

Table 2. Summary of significant advances in seismic anisotropy at IWSA meetings and elsewhere.

ID	Year of IWSA	Year of Proceedings	Significant advances
<b><i>0IWSA – 3IWSA: Principally earthquake seismology</i></b>			
0IWSA	1975	1977	Synthetic seismograms in anisotropic layered models (*Keith and Crampin, 1977a, 1977b, 1977c). Anisotropy observed in Upper Mantle from <i>Pn</i> -wave velocity anisotropy beneath both oceans (Hess, 1964), and continents (*Bamford, 1977). Anisotropy first observed (Crampin, 1966) and calculated (*Crampin and King, 1977) in higher-mode coupling in surface-wave dispersion across Eurasia. *Keith and Crampin (1977c) probably coined the phrase shear-wave splitting (actually <i>S-wave splitting</i> ) and displayed shear-wave splitting in synthetic plane-wave seismograms. Shear-wave splitting displayed in synthetic seismograms through cracked media (Crampin, 1978). Shear-wave splitting observed above earthquakes in both crust (Crampin et al., 1980), and upper mantle (Ando et al., 1980). General behaviour of wave propagation in cracked elastic media established (Crampin, 1981).
	1982	1984	Elastic constants calculated for aligned cracks (Crampin, 1978, 1984b). Importance of shear-wave window above small earthquakes recognised (*Evans, 1984). Aligned microcracks (EDA-cracks) suggested in most crustal rocks (*Crampin et al., 1984b). Shear-wave splitting observed above small earthquakes in Turkey (Crampin et al., 1985).
2IWSA	1986	1987	Alford (1986) and Lynn and Thomsen (1986) recognised shear-wave splitting in several reflection profiles and VSPs in hydrocarbon reservoirs. Determination of <i>Thomsen parameters</i> for seismic exploration (Thomsen, 1986). Observations of P-wave anisotropy in the crust (*Galperina and Galperin, 1987; *Leary et al., 1987; Li et al., 1987). Speculation on how monitoring shear-wave splitting could predict earthquakes (*Chen et al., 1987). Double-contour integration for 3D full-wave-propagation in anisotropic substrates (*Taylor, 1987).
3IWSA	1988	1990	Observations of anisotropy in laboratory studies in both field and laboratory (Kaneshima, 1990; Li et al., 1990; Savage et al., 1990; Shih and Meyer, 1990). First attempts at automatic measurement of shear-wave splitting (Shih and Meyer, 1990). First correlation of microcracks with fractures and directions of preferred fluid flow (*Queen and Rizer, 1990). Shear-wave splitting time-delays seen to increase before two earthquakes in California (Peacock et al., 1988; *Crampin et al., 1990, 1991) and Arkansas (*Booth et al., 1990). ANISEIS program developed for applying double-contour integration for full-wave synthetic seismograms through anisotropic layered models (Taylor, 1990).
<b><i>4IWSA – 12IWSA: Principally exploration seismology</i></b>			
4IWSA	1990	1991	First ten years of shear-wave splitting reviewed (*Crampin and Lovell, 1991). Review of theory of wave propagation in aligned cracks (*Hudson, 1991). Observations of <i>P</i> -wave velocity anisotropy in field and laboratory (*Artemieva and Chesnokov, 1991; *Brodov et al., 1991; *Brown et al., 1991; *de Parscau, 1991; *Macbeth, 1991a, 1991b). Shear-wave singularities: observed and modelled in oil field VSP (*Bush and Crampin, 1991); identified in cracked TIV-anisotropy (*Wild and Crampin, 1991); and synthetic seismograms of shear-wave singularities show 90°-flips and amplitude anomalies (*Crampin, 1991). Shear-wave splitting used to guide horizontal drilling into heavily-fractured rock (*Mueller, 1991). Linear-Transform Technique developed for processing anisotropic record sections (*Li and Crampin, 1991a, 1991b). This was the first complex analysis system applied to anisotropic records. *Leary (1991) demonstrates well-log evidence of fractal distributions of cracks in crystalline rocks.
5IWSA	1992	1992	Microcracks rather than macro-fractures suggested as source of shear-wave splitting (*Crampin, 1993a). Effects of aligned cracks reviewed for a range of crack parameters (*Crampin, 1993b). Temporal variations in shear-wave splitting seen before earthquake at Parkfield (Liu et al., 1993b). Many techniques for calculating synthetic seismograms presented (*Guest and Kendall, 1993; Igel et al., 1993; *Leary et al., 1993; *Wild et al., 1993; *Yao and Xiong, 1993a, 1993b); *Zhang et al., 1993). Correlation of degree of shear-wave splitting and hydrocarbon production (*Li et al., 1993) and (*Liu et al., 1993a). Anisotropic cusps observed in walk-away VSP and modelled with synthetic seismograms (*Slater et al. (1993).

**Table 2.** Continued.

6IWSA	1994	1996	Extensive observations of shear-wave splitting first recognised in the Earth (Crampin, 1994). Historical background of Lord Kelvin (*Helbig, 1996). A number of case studies were presented (*Horne et al., 1996; *Kebaili et al., 1996; *Stawicki and Lynn, 1996; *Mjelde, 1996). Non-linear response of shear-wave splitting more sensitive to near negligible changes than expected from conventional wave speeds and moduli (*Rasolofossao and Yin, 1996).
7IWSA	1996	2001	Frequency-dependent anisotropy: systematic differences between intrinsic anisotropy of shales in cores at ultrasonic frequencies and walkaway VSPs (*Hornby, 2001). Numerous theoretical and experimental papers presented.
8IWSA	1998	1998	Several papers on theoretical wave propagation (*Helbig, 1998; *Chesnokov et al., 1998) and processing techniques (*Raymer and Kendall, 1998; *Li, 1998). Closely-spaced cracks forming critical systems in most rocks first proposed (*Crampin, 1998). Time, magnitude, and fault of <i>M5</i> in SW Iceland stress-forecast (Crampin et al., 1999).
9IWSA	2000	2001	Many papers on amplitude variation withoffset (AVO) (*Ikelle and Amundsen, 2001; *Liu et al., 2001) and <i>P</i> -to- <i>S</i> converted <i>C</i> -waves (*Granger et al., 2001). Many papers on processing TIV media. Supporting evidence for closely spaced cracks as critical-systems (*Crampin and Chastin, 2001).
10IWSA	2002	2003	Theory and observation of frequency-dependent anisotropy (*Chapman et al., 2003; *Gurevich, 2003; *Li and Yuan, 2003; *Liu et al., 2003). Evidence for critical-systems from sensitivity of prototype borehole Stress-Monitoring Site (SMSs) (*Crampin et al., 2003).
11IWSA	2004	2006	The sensitivity of the prototype SMS suggests that GEMS, a global network of SMSs, presents the opportunity to forecast all damaging earthquakes worldwide (*Crampin et al., 2006).
12IWSA	2006	2006	Many papers on processing and analysing to remove the effects of VTI-anisotropy. Many papers on processing and analysing Tilted VTI-anisotropy (TTI). Virtual Source Method developed by *Bakulin and Calvert (2006). Common offset – common azimuth (COCA) cube for displaying azimuthal data (*Gray, 2006). *Chapman (2006). Showed that theoretically, <i>P</i> -wave attenuation anisotropy is a better indicator of fractures than <i>P</i> -wave travel-time anisotropy. In some circumstances travel-time measurements contain different anisotropic information than attenuation measurements (Liu et al., 2006). *Crampin and Gao (2006b) and Crampin (2006) suggest a <i>New Geophysics</i> where, in most <i>in situ</i> rocks in the crust, the fluid-saturated microcracks are so closely spaced that they verge on fracture-criticality and failure by fracturing and are critical systems. Seismic observations suggest the universality, calculability, predictability, and great sensitivity expected of critical systems. <i>New Geophysics</i> would have massive implications for conventional sub-critical geophysics.

Supplementary Material for:

Crampin, S. and Gao, Y., 2006. A quarter of a century of International Workshops on Seismic Anisotropy in the Earth's crust (0IWSA – 12IWSA). *J. Seism. Explor.*, XX: YY-ZZ.

## APPENDIX A

### OVERVIEW OF 13 INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOPS ON SEISMIC ANISOTROPY (0IWSA TO 12IWSA)

This overview is summarised in Table 2 of main text. Note that citations and references to papers in IWSA Proceedings are marked with an asterisk. Citations refer to references in main text and to supplementary references below.

#### ***0IWSA, 1975, Paris, France: Proceedings in Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 49: 1977***

In 1975, the IASPEI Commission for Controlled Source Seismology held a meeting at the Institut de Physique du Globe, Université Paris, organised by David Bamford, on *Seismic Anisotropy and its Implications*. This meeting, which we have named 0IWSA (see Table 1 of main text), was a direct precursor to the first IWSA. 0IWSA was the first meeting at which there had been a session, let alone a whole meeting (albeit only one day) on seismic anisotropy. At that time, azimuthal anisotropy in the Earth's crust had not been confirmed. In 1975, observations of seismic anisotropy in the Earth were confined to a comparatively thin layer at the top of the upper mantle, identified by two phenomena. 1) *Pn*-waves propagating in oceanic basins showing azimuthal velocity anisotropy, where the velocity perpendicular to the spreading axis was typically up to 5% greater than in directions parallel to the axis (Hess 1964; Raitt et al. 1969; amongst others). 2) Higher-mode surface-wave particle motions showing coupling between higher-mode Love- and Rayleigh-waves which are controlled by the anisotropy in the uppermost few km of the mantle (Crampin 1966). There were several earlier tentative reports of possible shear-wave splitting in the crust, but these were isolated unconfirmed examples - see the introduction to 0IWSA: *Seismic anisotropy - state of the art* (\*Bamford and Crampin, 1977).

*Theory:* Three papers by \*Keith and Crampin (1977a, 1977b, 1977c) calculated synthetic seismograms of (plane-wave) body-wave propagation in multi-layered azimuthally anisotropic media. This was the first time that shear-wave splitting had been demonstrated in synthetic seismograms. Today, shear-wave splitting is the most diagnostic and widespread observation of azimuthal anisotropy in the both crust and upper mantle, but at that time (1975), anisotropy-induced shear-wave splitting was not a recognised phenomenon. The term *shear-wave splitting* for the differencing of shear-wave velocities in anisotropic media was probably first coined (specifically *S-wave splitting*) by Crampin (1978) who first calculated synthetic seismograms and polarisation diagrams (hodograms) of propagation through aligned cracks.

*Observation and interpretation:* \*Bamford (1977) reported the first observations in the continental upper mantle of *Pn*-wave azimuthal velocity anisotropy from explosion refraction studies. These had similar characteristics to the previous examples of *Pn*-wave velocity anisotropy in refraction studies of oceanic basins (Hess 1964), which indicate a possibly thin layer of azimuthal anisotropy at the top of the upper mantle under oceanic basins.

\*Crampin and King (1977), using the techniques of Crampin and Taylor (1971), calculated for the first time the dispersion of surface waves in multi-layered anisotropic media, modelling observations of coupling between higher-mode Love and Rayleigh surface-waves (Crampin 1966). \*Crampin and King showed that the coupling could be the result of as little as a 4km-thickness of 4%

shear-wave velocity-anisotropy at the top of the upper mantle. \*Fuchs (1977) discussed the possible causes of *P*-wave azimuthal anisotropy in the upper mantle in terms of dynamical processes.

\*Schlue and Knopoff (1977) inferred anisotropy in the upper mantle from the inability of isotropic inversion to yield consistent structures from both Love and Rayleigh surface-wave phase velocity dispersion across the Pacific Basin. This phenomenon, known as *Rayleigh-Love polarisation anisotropy*, was first suggested by McEvelly (1964) and was later used extensively to suggest upper-mantle anisotropy.

USSR (Table 1). Again a *state-of-the-art* paper summarised the current position (\*Crampin et al., 1984a). The first positive observations of seismic azimuthal anisotropy by polarisation diagrams (hodograms) stress-aligned shear-wave splitting were made in 1980 in the crust and mantle by Crampin et al. (1980) and Ando et al. (1980), respectively (coincidentally both in the same volume of *Nature*).

*Theory:* \*Crampin (1984a) surveyed wave propagation in cracked and anisotropic media based on Crampin (1981) — a comprehensive review of wave propagation in anisotropic media, which had just been published. A significant advance in calculating anisotropy was to rotate elastic tensors so that the horizontal projection of wave propagation was always in the *x*-direction, with *z* vertical. This meant that analytical expressions and computer programs in multi-layered models could be written in concise general forms by making use of the summation convention for repeated suffices. This procedure is well adapted for computer manipulation, and was one of the key features permitting the numerical developments in Crampin (1981), and many theoretical calculations elsewhere.

\*Červený and Fírbaš (1984) and \*Petrashen and Kashtan (1984) presented theory for body wave propagation in inhomogeneous anisotropic media, but did not calculate synthetic seismograms. \*Martynov and Mikhailenko (1984) presented the theory for wave propagation in inhomogeneous anisotropic media and used a finite-difference technique to calculate synthetic plane-wave seismograms in unlayered transversely-isotropic models with a vertical axis of symmetry.

\*Evans (1984) was the first to recognise that the restrictions of Nuttli (1961) to surface observations of teleseismic shear-wave arrivals also applied to higher-frequency shear-wave arrivals from crustal earthquakes. The restriction limits useful shear-wave arrivals at a free surface to angles of incidence less than  $\sin^{-1}V_s/V_p$  ( $\approx 35^\circ$ , for a Poisson's ratio of 0.25), subsequently called the *shear-wave window* (Booth and Crampin, 1985). Shear waves arriving outside the window are severely distorted by *S*-to-*P* conversions. Note that refraction through near-surface low-velocity layers frequently means that the effective shear-wave window above small earthquakes can often be extended to a straight-line shear-wave window of  $45^\circ$  or  $50^\circ$  (Booth and Crampin, 1985).

\*Crampin (1984b) was the first to use the equations of Hudson (1980, 1981) to derive anisotropic elastic constants for both liquid- and gas-filled stress-aligned cracks - a problem first suggested by Crampin (Hudson, 1981). The effectiveness of these developments for the calculation of seismic propagation through cracked structures has been confirmed in many publications and Hudson (1981) is now the standard reference. Valid for crack densities up to  $\sim 0.05$ , Hudson (1981) is often ineffectually challenged by attempts to extend it to values beyond fracture criticality when no theory can model instability (\*Grechka, 2006; \*Zeng et al., 2006). Crampin (1978) had earlier calculated synthetic seismograms through cracked media using anisotropic elastic constants derived from the analyses of Garbin and Knopoff (1973, 1975a, 1975b), which led to results broadly compatible with Hudson (1981).

Using cracks to specify seismic anisotropy was an important advance for at least two reasons. Distributions of stress-aligned cracks are the accepted source of the shear-wave splitting observed in exploration surveys by the oil industry (see Sections 2.4, 4IWSA, and 2.5, 5IWSA, below), and above small earthquakes (Crampin, 1994). However, crack anisotropy also meant that anisotropic elastic constants could be easily modified in meaningful ways in terms of crack density, crack aspect-ratio, and crack orientation, so that shear-wave splitting could be directly related to stress, porosity, and other physical parameters. Since the elastic constants of crystals are rather inflexible, specifying cracks as the source of the anisotropy meant that shear-wave splitting became a flexible investigative tool.

*Observation - field:* The proceedings contained eleven further papers on various observations of *P*-wave velocity anisotropy and shear-wave splitting in the crust and upper mantle, and Rayleigh-Love polarisation anisotropy in the upper mantle.

*Observation - laboratory:* Several authors discussed velocity anisotropy in laboratory tests of crystalline rocks. \*Christensen (1984) made comprehensive analysis of anisotropy in ultra-mafic upper-mantle rocks, and suggested that shear-wave splitting will provide information about the mineral orientation and composition of the upper mantle, as indeed has been the case. \*Babuška (1984) examined *P*-wave anisotropy of other crystalline rocks, and \*Babuška and Pros (1984) examined the closure of microcracks at increasing lithostatic pressures and concluded that *P*-wave anisotropy is only observed near the surface at low lithostatic pressures. Note however that these experiments were in drained specimens where fluids could escape. Cracks in undrained specimens do not close completely and shear-wave splitting due to stress-aligned microcracks is now observed at all depths throughout the crust.

*Interpretation - aligned cracks:* \*Crampin et al. (1984b) suggested that observations of shear-wave splitting indicated that rocks in stressed fault zones are pervaded by fluid-saturated microcracks (named *extensive-dilatancy anisotropy* or *EDA*). Stress-aligned shear-wave splitting is now seen in almost all rocks of the crust, see particularly \*Crampin (1993a, 1994). It is now generally, although not universally, accepted by both exploration and earthquake seismologists, that the shear-wave splitting observed in almost all rocks is caused by stress-aligned fluid-saturated cracks and microcracks.

We now recognise that there are three key phenomena showing that the observed azimuthally-varying anisotropy is caused by stress-aligned vertical fluid-saturated microcracks (Crampin and Peacock, 2006): (1) The only anisotropic symmetry system that has parallel shear-wave polarisations throughout almost the whole of the shear-wave window is hexagonal symmetry (transverse isotropy) with a horizontal axis of symmetry or a minor variation thereof (Crampin, 1981, 1999); (2) The only geological configuration, with this symmetry axis, common to almost all igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks is stress-aligned fluid-saturated microcracks; and (3) Shear-wave splitting is observed to display temporal variations in hydrocarbon reservoirs (Angerer et al., 2002) and above small earthquakes (Volti and Crampin, 2003b; Crampin et al., 1999). This means that azimuthally-varying shear-wave splitting is invariably caused by stress-aligned fluid-saturated cracks. Although in theory a vertically oriented distribution of periodic thin layers (PTL-anisotropy) could cause the observed parallel polarisations, this would be a one-off chance orientation which to our knowledge has never been observed. We conclude that all observations of parallel shear-wave polarisations necessarily indicate distributions of stress-aligned fluid-saturated microcracks (Crampin and Peacock, 2006).

Clearly, EDA-cracks would be highly compliant and \*Crampin et al. (1984b) stimulated the search for temporal variations in shear-wave splitting before earthquakes. This led to the first observations of temporal variations before earthquakes by Peacock et al. (1988), \*Crampin et al. (1990, 1991), \*Booth et al. (1990), and others, and the first successfully stress-forecast earthquake (Crampin et al., 1999), as well as variations in hydrocarbon reservoirs caused by fluid injections (Angerer et al., 2002).

### ***2IWSA, 1986, Moscow, USSR: Proceedings in Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 91: 1987***

The second International Workshop was in Moscow in 1986 (Table 1). As was anticipated in the *state-of-the-art* paper for 1IWSA (\*Crampin et al., 1984a), increasing interest in anisotropy meant that the whole field could no longer be reviewed in a few pages, and state-of-the-art papers were discontinued. As an example of the rapidly increasing interest, there was one report of shear-wave splitting in the crust in 1980, three in 1985, and about 30 in 1986 (\*Booth et al., 1987).

*Theory:* Several papers discussed various techniques for calculating full-wave synthetic seismograms in anisotropic structures including double-contour integration (\*Taylor, 1987), and a ray algorithm for

3-D laterally inhomogeneous anisotropic layers (\*Gajewski and Pšenčík, 1987), both of which were part of continuing developments.

*Observation - Turkish Dilatancy Projects:* \*Evans et al. (1987) reported the results of the Turkish Dilatancy Project, TDP3. The Turkish Dilatancy Projects were three deployments of radio-linked seismic networks spanning the North-Anatolian Fault east of the Marmara Sea (TDP1 in 1979, TDP2 in 1980, and TDP3 in 1984). These experiments were designed to search for shear-wave splitting above a persistent swarm of small earthquakes some 10km SE of Izmit (coincidentally, the TDP networks happened to span the epicentre, some 20 years later, of the devastating 1999, *M*7.5, Izmit earthquake). Shear-wave splitting was confirmed in the crust for the first time (Crampin et al., 1980), and five papers following Crampin et al. (1985) reporting TDP1 and TDP2, set many of the parameters for observations of shear-wave splitting above small earthquakes. \*Evans et al. (1987) reporting TDP3 confirmed and enhanced previous results of TDP1 and TDP2.

TDP3 also deployed magneto-telluric (MT) stations (\*Evans et al., 1987). These MT sites showed regional contributions to the geoelectric-strike azimuths approximate parallel to the shear-wave polarisations, and local contributions to the geoelectric-strike azimuths were *exactly* along the maxima of shear-wave polarisations, except at one station where there was the severe topographic irregularity of a ~200m-deep gorge, nearby. (The effects of the shear-wave window makes shear-wave splitting extremely sensitive to near-station topography.) This was the first time that anomalies in electromagnetic signals associated with earthquakes had been directly correlated with stress-aligned microcracks.

\*Chen et al. (1987), aware of the in-house results of Peacock et al. (1988), also searched for temporal variations in the TDP records. In the five-month deployment of TDP3, they found an overall increase in time-delays between split shear-waves in time-delays in Band-1 directions within the shear-wave window normalised to ms/km. Band-1 is the double-leafed solid angle 15° to 45° to the plane of the inferred parallel cracks (Crampin, 1999). Increases in average time-delays in this solid angle indicate increasing stress as in the accumulation of stress before earthquakes. The Band-1 time-delays show an increase at five out of seven seismic stations, suggesting stress accumulation before an impending earthquake. This is likely to be associated with stress accumulation before an *M* 4.8 earthquake, some 45km SW of the centre of the network, on 28<sup>th</sup> October, 1984, four days after the monitoring had ended. At that time, the possible association had not been identified, as the great sensitivity of fluid-rock deformation to comparatively small stress changes at substantial distances had not yet been recognised.

*Observation:* \*Leary et al. (1987) and \*Li et al. (1987) present observations and modelling of seismic propagation in the vicinity of the seismically active Oroville Fault in California, and provided information about the aligned fractures surrounding the fault.

Three papers reported various observations of anisotropic effects in Russia, including very detailed multi-azimuth reflection surveys and vertical seismic profiles (VSPs) in transverse isotropy by \*Galperina and Galperin (1987).

*Interpretation:* \*Crampin (1987) speculated on how changes in shear-wave splitting could forecast earthquakes (first suggested by Crampin, 1978, and \*Crampin et al., 1984b). These ideas eventually led to observations of temporal changes (with hindsight) in Band-1 time-delays before many earthquakes (there are two reports at the next IWSA), and one successful stress-forecast of an *M* 5 earthquake in Iceland (Crampin et al., 1999).

Note that in 1986, Thomsen (1986) published an important paper identifying appropriate parameters for exploration processing of weakly anisotropic media with transverse-isotropy with a vertical axis of symmetry (*TIV-anisotropy*). The Thomsen parameters are one of the major factors that opened up TIV-anisotropy to exploration seismologists in 4IWSA and thereafter.

**3IWSA, 1988, Berkeley CA, USA: Proceedings in J. Geophys. Res., 95: 1990**

The Third International Workshop was in the Berkeley Campus of the University of California, USA (Table 1). The reports at this meeting were almost exclusively on laboratory and field observations of fracture and particularly microcrack anisotropy (\*Leary et al., 1990).

*Theory:* Several papers calculated synthetic seismograms including: \*Gajewski and Pšenčík (1990) extending previous work in dynamic ray tracing (\*Gajewski and Pšenčík, 1987) to calculate synthetic seismograms in multi-layered anisotropic substrates. \*Tsvankin and Chesnokov (1990) used double-contour integrals to calculate synthetic seismograms in orthorhombic media.

\*Liu and Crampin (1990) showed that just as there is a shear-wave window limiting observations of shear waves at the surface, there are also internal shear-wave windows limiting observations at each interface due again to the difference in behaviour of *SV*- and *SH*-waves at horizontal interfaces. In some cases, the behaviour can suggest anisotropy-induced shear-wave splitting at isotropic-to-isotropic internal interfaces.

*Observation* \*Shih and Meyer (1990) used the first automatic cross-correlation technique (Shih et al., 1989) to measure shear-wave splitting in Long Valley Caldera, California. They found anomalies associated with faults, and larger time-delays above the resurgent dome. Larger time-delays are now recognised as being associated with rocks with high heat-flow (Vinti and Crampin, 2003a, 2003b). \*Savage et al. (1990) also examined shear-wave splitting in Long Valley Caldera by visual techniques and obtained similar conclusions, but attributed the scatter in time-delays to near-receiver anisotropy. The large scatter above small earthquakes is now attributed to 90°-flips in shear-wave polarisations caused by the high pore-fluid pressures associated with all seismically-active faults (Crampin et al., 2004). \*Li et al. (1990) modelled observations of VSPs in the Mohave Desert, California, with synthetic seismograms and found shear-wave splitting consistent with interpretations in terms of sub-parallel sub-vertical cracks in other studies (for example \*Evans et al., 1987).

\*Kaneshima (1990) reviewed shear-wave splitting at some forty seismic stations in Japan. Shear-wave splitting was observed over a wide range of source zones and azimuths and \*Kaneshima concludes that shear-wave splitting may be limited to the uppermost 15-25km of the crust.

*Interpretation:* \*Shepherd (1990) gave a comprehensive geological analysis of microcracks, fluid inclusions, and stress which generally supports the interpretation of shear-wave splitting in terms of propagation through sub-parallel sub-vertical fluid-saturated microcracks.

In an integrated study, \*Queen and Rizer (1990) found that the orientations of pressure-cracked core samples matched the orientations of shear-wave splitting at the Conoco Borehole Test Facility in Oklahoma. This was the first direct correlation of shear-wave splitting with microcrack lithology and suggested that shear-wave splitting was directly related to overall fracture orientations and densities, where shear-wave polarisations indicate directions of preferred fluid flow. This has now been widely established in production reservoirs and elsewhere.

\*Crampin et al. (1990) showed that, when more data became available, the statistically-significant increase of Band-1 time-delays identified by Peacock et al. (1988) at Station KNW of the Anza Seismic Network, Southern California, was immediately followed by the *M* 6 North Palm Springs of 8<sup>th</sup> July, 1986, ~30km-distant from KNW. (The aftershocks of the earthquake had previously overloaded the location program in California, which was why Peacock et al. did not recognise the association.) This was first time that temporal changes in Band-1 time-delays monitoring the accumulation of stress before earthquakes had been observed (with hindsight) before earthquakes as suggested by \*Crampin (1978, 1987) and \*Crampin et al. (1984b). Similar increases were also seen (with hindsight) in Band-1 time-delays before an *M* 3.8 swarm earthquake in Enola, Arkansas, \*Booth et al. (1990).

Note that the interpretation of Peacock et al. (1988) and \*Crampin et al. (1990) was challenged by Aster et al. (1990), who used an automatic measurement technique to infer that there were no temporal changes. Crampin et al. (1991) (reply, Aster et al., 1991) showed that visual inspection of rotated seismograms indicated that the comments of Aster et al. (1990) could be discounted as their automatic measurements could be in error by up to 200%. Note that shear-wave splitting above small earthquakes is extremely difficult to measure automatically on typical

seismograms, Crampin and Gao (2006a). No wholly successful technique has yet been established, unless there is rigorous selection of seismograms and rejection of well over 50% of the records. Except for vigorous aftershocks sequences, there are seldom enough earthquakes within the shear-wave window to make this useful, and aftershock sequences do not appear to have consistent stress variations. Note that an effective *semi-automatic* technique has now been developed (Gao et al., 2006).

#### ***4IWSA, 1990, Edinburgh, UK: Proceedings in Geophys. J. Int., 107: 1991***

The Fourth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, 4IWSA, was held in Edinburgh (Table 1). Previous IWSAs had been principally concerned with earthquake seismology. However, shear-wave splitting had now been observed in the oil reservoirs. The 1986 Annual International SEG Meeting had 15 abstracts on crack-induced shear-wave splitting - see for example, Alford (1986) and Lynn and Thomsen (1986). Recognising this advance, in 1988, Crampin founded the Edinburgh Anisotropy Project (EAP) at the British Geological Survey. Sponsored by ~18 oil companies, EAP still continues, directed by Xiang-Yang Li. As a result of this change of emphasis, 4IWSA in Edinburgh had well over 50% of the proceedings related to exploration seismology, and all succeeding IWSA have been increasingly dominated by exploration seismology, as oil companies provided increasing sponsorship (Table 1).

The first paper, \*Crampin and Lovell (1991), reviewed the first decade of observations of shear-wave splitting in the Earth. \*Crampin and Lovell listed seven then unexplained features of shear-wave splitting ranging from, the coincidence of the degree of stress-aligned shear-wave velocity anisotropy in virtually all rock types, to the huge scatter in shear-wave time-delays and polarisations above small earthquakes. It is a mark of the progress in understanding shear-wave splitting that these seven unexplained features in 1991 have all been largely resolved, principally as a result of the recognition that the fluid-saturated microcracks are so closely spaced that they are critical systems with all the behaviour that this New Geophysics implies (\*Crampin, 1998, 2003, 2006; \*Crampin and Chastin, 2001; Crampin and Peacock, 2005; \*Crampin et al., 2002, 2003, 2004).

*Theory:* \*Hudson (1991) reviewed the background and overall progress and conditions for modelling cracks in heterogeneous media begun twelve years earlier by Crampin (1978) and Hudson (1980, 1981).

\*Li and Crampin (1991a, 1991b) developed the linear-transform technique, LTT, to analyse vectorially-polarised shear-wave data by writing the two horizontal components as complex numbers, and successfully applied it to four very different case studies. This was the first time that a comprehensive analysis technique had been developed to analyse shear-wave splitting. This was important as demonstrating that this and eventually other fundamentally new techniques for analysing shear-wave splitting were possible.

\*Lou and Crampin (1991) calculated for the first time synthetic seismograms and dispersion of interface waves propagating along thin anisotropic wave guides. Such guided waves in cross-hole seismics have been used to test continuity of wave guides in hydrocarbon reservoirs (\*Leary, 2006).

*Theory and observation - shear-wave singularities:* \*Wild and Crampin (1991) demonstrated the theoretical effects of materials with combinations of transverse isotropy with a vertical axis of symmetry (*PTL-anisotropy*) and azimuthal *anisotropy* (known as extensive-dilatancy anisotropy or *EDA-anisotropy*) believed to be common in hydrocarbon reservoirs. PTL-anisotropy is the transverse isotropy of periodic thin layers and the lithology of shales and mudstones with a vertical axis of symmetry. EDA-anisotropy is the azimuthal anisotropy of parallel vertical microcracks (\*Crampin et al., 1984b). One of the principal effects of a combination of PTL- and EDA-anisotropy is to yield orthorhombic anisotropic symmetry with numerous (theoretically up to 27) shear-wave point-singularities (Crampin and Yedlin, 1981).

Point singularities, where the faster and slower shear-wave sheets touch or intersect, can cause severe disturbances to shear-wave ray paths in directions passing within ten or more degrees of the singularity (depending on the various strengths of PTL- and EDA-anisotropy). \*Crampin (1991) used

ANISEIS (\*Taylor, 1987, 1990) to calculate exact full-wave synthetic seismograms demonstrating the pronounced disturbances to shear-wave polarisations (including 90°-flips, Angerer et al., 2002) and time-delays caused by point singularities, including abrupt reversals of sign without passing through zero. Note that both radial and azimuthal integration were used and the full-wave seismograms are exact without approximations. \*Bush and Crampin (1991) confirmed the effects of point singularities in observations of a multi-offset VSP in the Paris Basin.

*Observation:* The Proceedings of 4IWSA had some ten papers on observations of *P*-wave velocity anisotropy and shear-wave splitting in hydrocarbon reservoirs. There were also several examples of inverting both field and laboratory data for elastic parameters (\*Artemieva and Chesnokov, 1991; \*Brodov et al., 1991; \*Brown et al., 1991; \*de Parscau, 1991; \*Macbeth, 1991a, 1991b).

*Interpretation:* In a classic paper, \*Mueller (1991) showed that the laterally variability of observed shear-wave splitting could be used to guide horizontal drilling into aligned fissures for enhanced oil recovery at depth in the Austin Chalk, Texas. This is one of the first published demonstrations of the direct value of azimuthally-vary shear-wave splitting to the hydrocarbon industry.

\*Leary (1991) Showed for the first time that both sonic and electrical resistivity well-logs showed fractal distributions for over three-orders of magnitude of crack dimensions. Referred to as *1/f*-noise, such effects have now been established as routinely present in virtually all well-logs worldwide, and are an important demonstration of the critical nature of cracks in the crust (\*Crampin, 1998; \*Crampin and Chastin, 2001; Crampin, 2003, 2006; \*Crampin et al., 2003).

\*Liu et al. (1991), continuing the investigations of \*Queen and Rizer (1990), showed the effects of aligned fractures on shear-wave splitting in shallow VSPs at the Conoco Borehole Test Facility.

#### **5IWSA, 1992, Banff, Canada: Proceedings in Can. J. Expl. Soc., 29: 1993**

The Fifth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy was held in 1992 in Banff, Alberta, Canada (Table 1), with again the majority of papers in exploration seismics, this time with a significant number of case studies. The small number of earthquake studies included the first papers from mainland China. Note that in ten years of IWSAs, many of the original features of seismic anisotropy have now been discovered. This means that as time progresses there are smaller numbers of significant papers and it is more difficult to identify those papers which will be important for the future. The proceedings of 5IWSA contain 10 papers calculating synthetic seismograms (four of which directly model observed shear-wave splitting), and it is difficult to identify which of these papers is most significant for future developments.

*Theory:* \*Crampin (1993a) presented the arguments for distributions of microcracks (EDA-cracks) rather than large cracks or fractures as the source of the nearly ubiquitous stress-aligned shear-wave splitting seen in almost all rocks. The evidence for microcracks has now been reviewed by Crampin and Peacock (2006). There are three key features: 1) the only anisotropy symmetry system with parallel polarisations is transverse isotropy with a horizontal symmetry axis (TIH-anisotropy); 2) the only geological phenomenon with this symmetry is stress-aligned microcracks; and 3) fluid-saturated cracks are the of source of anisotropy that can display the observed temporal changes. The overwhelming evidence for microcracks is that very similar phenomena are seen at all crustal-depths in almost all rocks whose only common feature is the presence of stress-aligned fluid-saturated grain-boundary cracks, thin pores, and pore-throats. Although larger cracks clearly exist, propagation through distributions of larger cracks is likely to severely attenuate second split shear-waves, as demonstrated by \*Mueller (1991), and cause reflections and refractions but not ubiquitous shear-wave splitting. The overall conclusion is that most shear-wave splitting is caused by micro- rather than macro-cracks.

\*Crampin (1993b) gave a theoretical review of the effects of crack parameters on wave propagation through cracked solids. Note that there is no simple relationship between the degree of velocity anisotropy and crack density. Almost counter intuitively, the degree of velocity anisotropy

and behaviour of both  $P$ -waves and shear-waves is *heavily dependent* on crack aspect ratios, pore-fluid velocities, and  $V_s/V_p$  ratios (Poisson's ratio), as well as crack density. This means that unique inversions for crack parameters from observations of shear-wave splitting may be difficult if not impossible without very extensive data sets, which are seldom, if ever, available. There were several other papers about various anisotropic phenomena, including a number of papers about preferred techniques for observing or processing appropriate record Sections.

*Theory - synthetic seismograms:* Several 5IWSA papers calculated synthetic seismograms in cracked media with TIV-anisotropy. \*Guest and Kendall (1993) use Maslov ray theory to calculate synthetic seismograms in anisotropic and inhomogeneous structures. \*Igel et al. (1993) present a finite-difference grid technique which avoids problems with reflectivity (restriction to plane-layered models), ray techniques (limited heterogeneity), and finite-difference (errors in phase and group velocities). They present satisfactorily small relative errors, but do not present synthetic seismograms. \*Leary et al. (1993) use finite-difference calculations of synthetic trapped-wave propagation in fractured low-velocity layers.

\*Wild et al. (1993) used the ANISEIS to display instantaneous amplitude and polarisation attributes of synthetic VSPs in layered anisotropic structures (see note on ANISEIS in Section 2.4). \*Yao and Xiong (1993a, 1993b) used the reflectivity technique of Booth and Crampin (1983) to model with synthetic seismograms, respectively, radiation from an anisotropic point source, and shear-wave splitting above local earthquakes. \*Zhang et al. (1993) use finite-difference modelling for synthetic seismograms in TIV.

Synthetic seismograms were also used to model observed shear-wave splitting. \*Gledhill (1993) uses the reflectivity technique of Booth and Crampin (1983) through cracked structures (Hudson, 1980, 1981) to match synthetic to observed shear-wave splitting in Wellington Peninsula, New Zealand. \*Liu et al. (1993a) used the ANISEIS software (Taylor, 1990) to successfully match synthetic seismograms in a multi-azimuth reverse VSP in the fractured Conoco Borehole Test Facility. \*Niitsuma et al. (1993) used wavelet transforms to model observed shear-wave splitting in the Kakkonda geothermal area in Japan.

*Observation and interpretation:* There were several unique observations confirming various anisotropic phenomena. \*Holmes et al. (1993) monitored shear-wave splitting in a nearly complete solid angle of directions in a granite batholith at an underground research laboratory. (Continuing analysis showed that excavation damage probably extended to at least three diameters from a 3m-diameter tunnel, Holmes et al., 2000).

\*Li et al. (1993) continuing the analysis of \*Mueller (1991) showed that hydrocarbon production rates across the Austin Chalk, Texas, approximately correlated with degree of shear-wave splitting in reservoirs at two producing and one non-producing reservoir. This was the first direct confirmation that varying degrees of shear-wave splitting are directly correlated with variations in production.

\*Liu et al. (1993a), see above, successfully matched synthetic seismograms in a multi-azimuth reverse VSP in fractured rock, confirming the association of shear-wave splitting to both micro- and macro-fracturing.

\*Liu et al. (1993b) monitored shear-wave splitting showing temporal variations before a  $M 4$  earthquake at Parkfield on the San Andrea Fault similar to those variations reported previously (Peacock et al., 1988; \*Crampin et al., 1990; \*Booth et al., 1990). This was the fourth example of a now frequently observed phenomenon. The third example was by Gao et al. 1998 on Hainan Island, China.) Note that other papers at 5IWSA also sought temporal changes in shear-wave splitting above small earthquakes.

\*Graham and Crampin (1993) examined regional earthquakes recorded by the TDP experiments in Turkey (\*Evans et al., 1987), and showed that shear-wave splitting in  $S_n$ -waves, refracted along the Moho, indicate substantial crack-induced anisotropy in the lower crust with similar orientation as the upper crust but with time-delays up to 1sec.

*Observation - anisotropic cusps:* \*Slater et al. (1993) successfully modelled synthetic seismograms using ANISEIS software (Taylor, 1990) in walkaway VSPs in an oil field in the Caucasus Basin, matching the first confirmed field observations of anisotropic cusps.

#### **6IWSA, 1994, Trondheim, Norway: *Proceedings in Seismic Anisotropy, SEG, 1996***

The Sixth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, 6IWSA, was in Trondheim, Norway (Table 1). The first paper was a *geophysicist's view on seismic anisotropy* by \*Lynn (1996). The original earthquake stimulation for IWSA has now almost completely disappeared and Lynn made no mention of earthquake seismology. The next paper was by \*Helbig (1996) who, at several of the following IWSAs, presents papers outlining the historical background to seismic anisotropy. On this occasion, it was the contribution of William Thomson, later Lord Kelvin (1824-1907), to strain and stress tensors.

There were 20 other papers. Many of these are theoretical modifications of well-known phenomena, whose overall significance is difficult to assess. They include four papers on the theoretical modelling of anisotropic matrices, seven papers modelling wave propagation through material containing aligned cracks, several papers on various record-section processing techniques, and several on rock mechanics experiments.

*Theory:* \*Rasolofosaon and Yin (1996) set up the equations for non-linearity (NL) in anisotropic elastic media and present rock mechanics results that suggest that the sensitivity of the NL response to changing parameters in anisotropy may be far greater than that of the linear parameters such as wave speeds and elastic moduli. This sensitivity was later to be confirmed in several papers (Angerer et al., 2002; \*Crampin et al., 2003; Volti and Crampin, 2003b).

*Observation and interpretation:* There were a small number of case studies. \*Horne et al. (1996) devise a successful genetic algorithm (GA) technique for inverting for elastic constants for a 620m-deep VSP at the Conoco Borehole Test Facility, whose shallow structure was modelled by \*Liu et al. (1991, 1993a). \*Kebaili et al. (1996) presented a new slant stack processing technique and successfully applied it to a shallow VSP in Alberta, and Stawicki and Lynn (1996) investigated the effects of complex tectonic strain on multi-azimuth VSPs in the Lower Indus Basin, Pakistan. \*Mjelde (1996) interprets shear-wave velocities in the lower crust from two air-gun reflection surveys recorded on three-component ocean bottom seismographs at Lofoten, Norway, in terms of 14% shear-wave velocity anisotropy. This is one of the few examples, together with \*Graham and Crampin (1993) and \*Kaneshima (1990), of realistic estimations of lower-crustal shear-wave velocity anisotropy. Both \*Mjelde and \*Graham and Crampin agree that the azimuthal shear-wave velocity anisotropy in the lower crust may be over 10% and substantially higher than in the upper half of the crust.

#### **7IWSA, 1996, Miami FL, USA: *Proceedings in Advances In Anisotropy. SEG, 2001***

The Seventh International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy was held in Miami, Florida, USA (Table 1). The meeting was typical in that there were significant contributions on a wide range of topics that are too many to mention individually.

conclusion was that the effects of fine layering anisotropy on the seismic scale are underestimated in sonic logs from Dipole Shear Sonic Indicator (DSI) borehole sonic tool.

*Observation - laboratory:* \*Skjærstein and Fjær (2001) measure attenuation in the model of open fluid-saturated cracks of Rathore et al. (1995) which had been used to compare various theoretical models of cracks. They confirmed the theoretical result that shear waves with polarisations perpendicular to parallel cracks are more attenuated than shear waves with polarisations parallel to the crack face.

*Theory - temporal changes:* \*Bokelmann (2001) presents a method for resolving small temporal variations between clusters of doublets (earthquakes with similar seismograms, and similar source). The results show that small temporal variations are common but \*Bokelmann offers no explanation. We now know that the sensitivity of critical-systems of fluid-saturated microcracks is a probable explanation (see entries for the next three IWSAs).

*Observation and interpretation:* Two papers examine the effects of dipping TIV structures. \*Kühnel and Li (2001) develop an algorithm for separating anisotropy and structure from the ranking of: a first order isotropic dip term; two second-order residual terms, isotropic dip, dip-independent anisotropy; and one third-order dip- and anisotropy-dependent residual. The algorithm is usually effective except for particular orientations of the anisotropy of the matrix material, when the technique is no longer applicable. \*Leslie and Lawton (2001) propagate *P*-wave signals through an anisotropic laboratory model with structure simulating a dipping sequence of shales. Comparatively severe distortion can be interpreted in terms of anisotropic and dip parameters as long as the degree of anisotropy is not too great.

### **8IWSA, 1998, Boussens, France: *Proceedings in Spec. Issue, Rev. Inst. Franc. Pet., 53: 1998***

The Eighth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy was held in Boussens, France (Table 1). Approximately half the papers were on various aspects of theory and half on processing techniques with very few case studies.

*Theory:* Three papers calculated synthetic seismograms including: \*Caddick et al. (1998), who compared Asymptotic- with Maslov-ray theory, which give different behaviour near shear-wave singularities (note that \*Crampin, 1991 gave exact full-wave behaviour near singularities); and \*Chichinina and Oblentseva (1998), who compared waveforms in anisotropic and gyrotropic media, Gyrotropy was not specifically defined, but seems to refer to media with a continuous rotation of preferred shear-wave polarisations. Such properties have not yet been demonstrated in *in situ* rocks.

There were a number of papers presenting variations on standard procedures whose overall significance is difficult to judge. \*Helbig (1998) presents a formalism for the non-linearity suggested by \*Rasolofosaon and Yin (1996), and \*Chesnokov et al. (1998) developed a diagrammatic technique for the calculation of the dynamic properties, including the frequency response, of propagation through randomly distributed cracks and pores.

*Observation:* \*Berthet et al. (1998) showed that imaging dipping structures was improved by anisotropic rather than isotropic post-stack processing. They demonstrated the improvement in a record section from offshore Africa.

*Interpretation:* \*Raymer and Kendall (1998) showed synthetic seismograms through models containing salt, with anisotropic properties determined from laboratory texture analysis. They demonstrated that the anisotropy of salt produced substantial travel-time variations and significant shear-wave splitting that could be diagnostic of *in situ* salt.

\*Li (1998), assuming fracture-induced anisotropy, showed that near-vertically propagating converted *PS*-waves can indicate the orientation and intensity of *in situ* fractures. These effects were demonstrated with synthetic (ANISEIS) seismograms showing phase changes and variations in time-delays.

*Interpretation - critical-systems:* \*Crampin (1998) first suggests that the match of anisotropic poroelasticity (APE) modelling (Zatsepin and Crampin, 1997; Crampin and Zatsepin, 1997) to observed shear-wave splitting implies that the fluid-saturated stress-aligned microcracks in most rocks in the crust are so closely-spaced that they are critical systems. This is supported by a large variety of observations relating to cracks, stress, and shear-wave splitting.

**9IWSA, 2000, Camp Allen, TX, USA: *Proceedings in Anisotropy 2000. SEG, 2001***

The Ninth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy was held in Camp Allen, Houston, Texas (Table 1).

*Theory:* Rommel and Tsvankin (2001) develop a simple analytical approach for group- and phase-velocity relationships for rays of *P*-waves in TIV and orthorhombic media, based on the notation of Tsvankin (1996) for the Thomsen (1986) parameters applied to orthorhombic media. \*Helbig and Rasolofosaon (2001), continuing the work of \*Rasolofosaon and Yin (1996), develop a formalism for incorporating non-linearity and hysteresis into a “unifying theory”. \*Garmany (2001) shows analytically that phase shifts (90°-flips) in shear-wave polarisations occur whenever a ray touches a caustic surface (or passes near a shear-wave singularity as demonstrated with synthetic seismograms by \*Crampin, 1991).

*Theory - AVOs and fractures:* There eight papers dealing with various aspects of processing Amplitude Variations with Offset (AVOs) of models with anisotropic layered structure containing fractures. All seem useful, but space restrictions limit comments to three papers. \*Ikelle and Amundsen (2001) present preliminary techniques for interpreting *P-P*, *P-SV*, and *P-SH* data with the ambiguity of TIV-anisotropy and heterogeneity. Since anisotropy and heterogeneity may always be present in AVOs, these techniques could be important discriminants. \*Liu et al. (2001) present techniques for extracting information about crack compliance and pore-fluid parameters from AVO analysis. Using synthetic seismograms Liu et al. (2001) show that the combined use of *P-P* and *P-SV* AVOs offers advantages in determining fractures and fluid saturations.

*Observation - case studies:* There were two case studies. \*Granger et al. (2001) used the shear-wave splitting of *P*-to-*S*-converted waves (*C*-waves) recorded on 3D-4C ocean bottom geophones to make a preliminary evaluation of azimuthal anisotropy of the North-Sea Valhall Field. Results show that principal directions coincide with fault systems at two levels, from this feasibility demonstration, but the authors do not attempt any interpretive conclusions. \*Berthet et al. (2001) apply anisotropic pre-stack depth migration to offshore data in West Africa. The technique shows sufficient sensitivity that it can be used as a lithology-discriminant to detect isotropic sands of a few wavelengths thickness.

*Interpretation - processing TIV media:* Five papers described various techniques for processing TIV media. \*Williamson and Maocec (2001) estimated local anisotropy using polarisations and travel times from the Oseberg 3D VSP. They achieve better determination of anisotropy by inverting polarisations than by standard slowness inversion.

*Interpretation - critical-systems:* \*Crampin and Chastin (2001) claim that three recent results support the arguments of \*Crampin (1998) that the stress-aligned fluid-saturated microcracks in almost all rocks are so closely spaced that they are critical systems. These results are modelling the response of a reservoir to a high-pressure fluid-injection (published later in Angerer et al., 2002), modelling frequency dispersion in laboratory experiments (published later in Chapman et al., 2002); and a successfully stress-forecast earthquake (Crampin et al., 1999). It is suggested that critical-systems of stress-aligned fluid-saturated microcracks are the physical reality underlying the well-known self-organised criticality of the Gutenberg and Richter relationship and have a profound effect on a wide range of solid earth geoscience including carbon production and earthquake science.

**10IWSA, 2002, Tutzing, Germany: *Proceedings in Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys., 54: 2003***

The Tenth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, 10IWSA, 2002, was in Tutzing, Germany (Table 1). There were too many useful papers at this meeting to refer to each individually.

*Theory - shear-wave triplication:* \*Thomsen and Dellinger (2003) discuss analytically the occurrence of cusps and shear-wave triplications and suggest that *P*-wave kinematics suggest that cusps in the *SV*-wave sheet in TIV-anisotropy are common. Although such triplications have been

observed in a wide-angle VSP (\*Slater et al., 1993), the angles of incidence at which cusps would be seen are further from the vertical than *P*-to-*S* converted waves (*C*-waves) would typically sample in surface seismic surveys.

*Theory and observation - frequency-dependent anisotropy:* \*Gurevich (2003) developed the mathematics for the elastic properties of saturated porous rock with aligned cracks. Wave-induced fluid-flow between pores and cracks in rock with parallel cracks is shown to alter the elastic behaviour for low-frequency waves. \*Chapman et al. (2003) showed that the movement of fluids between cracks and pore-space strongly alters the anisotropic behaviour, where a simplified model matched laboratory data. In particular, the analysis showed the effects are frequency dependent. \*Li and Yuan (2003) derive improved equations for calculating the moveout and conversion points of the non-hyperbolic *C*-waves. Comparison of analysis with real data shows that the technique is sufficiently accurate to yield reliable structures. \*Liu et al. (2003) observed and interpreted frequency-dependent anisotropy in terms of variations of time-delays with depth in different frequency pass-bands. The anisotropy diminished from 2.8% at 10Hz to 2% at 25Hz.

*Observation and interpretation:* \*Gao and Crampin (2003) reported the temporal variations of shear-wave splitting in field and laboratory studies in China, which show changes of shear-wave splitting with increasing stress and pressure. The laboratory experiments show an abrupt decrease in time-delays immediately before fracturing occurs. This stress-relaxation is also observed before earthquakes (Gao and Crampin, 2004), where is now interpreted as the effects of crack coalescence as the impending fracture is identified by the fluid-stress evolution.

*Observation and interpretation - critical system sensitivity:* \*Crampin et al. (2003) (see also Crampin, 2004) reported preliminary results from a prototype borehole Stress-Monitoring Site (SMS) adjacent to the Húsavík-Flatey Transform Fault in northern Iceland. Well-recorded anomalies (accurate to  $\pm 0.02$ ms) in *P*-, *SH*-, *SV*-travel times, and shear-wave splitting (*SV* – *SH* travel times) correlated with low-level seismicity (equivalent energy to one *mb* = 3.5 earthquake) at 70km-distance. This exceptional sensitivity (in layered-basalts), at hundreds of times the likely source dimensions, confirms the criticality of the fluid-saturated microcracks in the crust advocated by \*Crampin (1998) and \*Crampin and Chastin (2001). \*Crampin et al. (2003) also reported 90°-flips in shear-wave polarisations caused by critically-high pore-fluid pressures on all seismically-active faults (Crampin et al., 2002). These 90°-flips are the cause of the large ( $\pm 80\%$ ) scatter in shear-wave splitting time-delays (Crampin et al., 2004) always observed above small earthquakes (\*Crampin et al., 1990; \*Booth et al., 1990).

### ***11IWSA, 2004, St. Johns, Newfoundland, Canada: No Published Proceedings, but Abstracts in Geophysics, 71: 13JF-29JF, 2006***

The Eleventh International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, 11IWSA, was in St John's, Newfoundland, Canada (Table 1). This meeting had the attendance of any IWSA. The majority of the abstracts at 11IWSA were theoretical and appear to be modifications of well-known phenomena. At this time, it is difficult to judge their future relevance.

*Theory - frequency dependent anisotropy:* \*Vikhorev et al. (2006) suggest that different scales of inhomogeneities can cause differences between the values of anisotropy in 'sonic-waves' (~20kHz) and 'seismic-waves' (5-10Hz).

*Theory - wave propagation in viscoelastic media:* \*Červený and Pšenčík (2006) investigate the properties of plane waves in viscoelastic anisotropic media by specifying the attenuation by complex values of the slowness vector. Note that the use of complex quantities for modelling attenuation was first suggested by Crampin (1981), and ANISEIS routinely uses complex constants to model full-wave synthetic seismograms in multi-layered attenuating media (Taylor, 1990). In some ways, complex

constants may be a more natural way to specify attenuating media, as the one complex specification avoids the complications of the complex slowness of \*Červený and Pšenčík (2005, 2006).

*Theory - wave propagation in penny-shaped cracks - a necessary correction:* \*Grechka (2006) uses finite element modelling to determine Thomsen parameters for media with parallel cracks and shows results that diverge from those of Hudson (1980), particularly for crack densities greater than 0.05. \*Grechka attributes the divergence to the first-order theory of Hudson (1980, 1981) not treating crack-to-crack interactions. This is not surprising. Hudson (1980, 1981) *is a* first-order theory. The second-order theory of Hudson (1986) correctly models crack-to-crack interactions. \*Grechka also claims that “conventional wisdom” suggests Hudson’s “first-order results are correct up to crack densities  $\approx 0.1$ ”. Conventional wisdom is incorrect. Crack densities in stable rock are limited to *less than* the fracture criticality of  $\sim 0.05$  (Crampin, 1994, 1999). (The exact values may vary slightly with different matrix Poisson’s ratios). This is not a question of formulaic derivation or mathematical modelling. The physical reality of crack densities of aligned cracks higher than  $\sim 0.05$  is that the rock is so heavily fractured that it is without shear-strength and is physically unstable. Hudson’s (1980, 1981, 1986) formulae are valid for parallel cracks with crack-to-crack interactions in stable rock for crack densities less than the physical limitation of  $\sim 0.05$ .

*Observation - CO<sub>2</sub>-injections:* \*Jenner (2006) investigates the effects of injecting high-pressure CO<sub>2</sub> at 10-14MPa on the anisotropic behaviour of *P*-waves in a reservoir at the Weyburn Field, Saskatchewan, Canada and finds that there is no “seismically discernible change in anisotropy”. Note that Angerer et al. (2002), who found substantial changes in shear-wave anisotropy for similar high-pressure CO<sub>2</sub>-injections, also found negligible changes in *P*-wave signals. Theory and observations suggest that the principle effect of changing pressures is to modify crack aspect-ratios (Crampin 1999), which modify shear-wave splitting. Nearly vertically-propagating *P*-waves are not very sensitive to aspect-ratios of nearly vertically-aligned cracks. This confirms that shear-wave splitting is the most sensitive phenomenon for time-lapse monitoring of small changes in conditions.

*Interpretation - GEMS, the opportunity for a global network of borehole stress-monitoring sites to forecast all damaging earthquakes worldwide.* \*Crampin et al. (2006) suggest that the sensitivity of the prototype borehole stress-monitor site (\*Crampin et al., 2003) indicates that a global network of (both onshore and offshore) borehole SMSs, on a 400km-grid in seismic areas and a 1000km-grid elsewhere, would be able to forecast all damaging ( $M \geq 5$ ) worldwide.

### ***12IWSA, 2006, Beijing, China: Proceedings in this issue and in 12IWSA Expanded Abstracts***

The Twelfth International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, 12IWSA, was held in Beijing, China (Table 1). This was the largest, with  $\sim 60$  National and 60 International participants, 59 Abstracts for oral presentations and 53 Abstracts for posters, arguably best organised and arguable one of the most enjoyable IWSA, with probably significant advances in theory, observation, and interpretation. IWSA in Beijing stimulated a significant input from China. There were 15 oral presentations and 37 posters where the lead author was in China, and of course many more from Chinese nationals working abroad.

There were three entertaining and informative invited Keynote Addresses: Leon Thomsen discussed the various levels of anisotropy and symmetry; Heloise B. Lynn discussed the present and future of anisotropy in exploration seismics; and \*Martha Savage (2006) discussed anisotropy from the inner core to the crust with particular reference to New Zealand.

There were a huge range of abstracts on theory, observation, and interpretation, with far too many for detailed description. Only overall trends and a few key contributions will be noted. For example, there were 11 abstracts describing various techniques for removing the effects of VTI anisotropy, transverse isotropy with a vertical axis of symmetry. (Note that VTI now appears to be the agreed mnemonic for what is sometimes called TIV anisotropy.) There were also 7 abstracts on processing and analysing “Tilted Transverse Isotropy” or TTI a comparatively frequent occurrence in reservoirs where fine layering is not horizontal. One general complaint with many of these processing

techniques is that the effects are a better resolved “anisotropy” but there is little interpretation of what the anisotropy means, little real geophysics, other than the rather vague supposition that the anisotropy refers to unresolved “fractures”.

*Theory:* The most important theory at IWSA was the virtual source method (VSM) suggested by \*Bakulin and Calvert (2006) where a virtual shear-wave source can be constructed at downhole geophones by time-reversal and deconvolution with the signals from surface sources. This effectively places sources at downhole geophones and eliminates the highly heterogeneous near-surface effects. VSM is believed to have great value for constructing shear-wave signals through deeper layers.

*Observation:* The Common Offset – Common Azimuthal (COCA) Cube of Gray (2006) is an effective way of displaying prestack seismic data that highlights azimuthal anisotropy. Just as the Common Offset Stack serves as a quality control and visual confirmation of AVO anomalies, the COCA Cube serves as a visual quality control of azimuthal anisotropy attributes.

*Interpretation - attenuation:* Several interpretations give direct information about the subsurface. \*Chapman (2006) shows that P-wave attenuation anisotropy in a fractured layer is a better indicator of fractures than P-wave travel-time anisotropy, and can potentially provide new information about fracture dimensions and fluid content. Chapman hopes to develop interpretation of shear-wave anisotropy in the future.

*Interpretation - kinematic and dynamic anisotropy:* As an example, \*Liu et al. (2006) show theoretically that kinematic anisotropy (travel-time and velocity-based measurements) and dynamic anisotropy (amplitude and attenuation measurements) may have different symmetries when there are two crack sets with different fluid contents (dry and brine-filled, say). These different symmetries have measurable effects on seismograms. This means that differences between different field measurements may contain valuable information about complex stress-fluid fracture systems.

*Interpretation - the New Geophysics:* In a modified version of the abstract, \*Crampin and Gao (2006b) argue that worldwide observations of shear-wave indicate that stress-aligned fluid-saturated microcracks are so close together they verge on fracture-criticality and fracturing and are critical systems with all that that implies (\*Crampin, 1998, 2003, 2004, 2006; \*Crampin and Chastin, 2001). Critical systems would have several crucial differences from conventional geophysics. 1) Behaviour near criticality is calculable so that seemingly complicated overall phenomena may be calculated by simplified effects neglecting detailed behaviour, hence the “unreasonable” success of APE-modelling, and may well be the reason why the Gassmann Theory is apparently applicable outside the expected range (\*Rasolofosaon, 2006). 2) There is extreme (“butterfly wing’s”) sensitivity to seeming negligible changes (see \*Crampin et al., 2003; \*Rasolofosaon and Yin, 1996). These two effects mean that low-level deformation can be monitored with shear-wave splitting, calculated (predicted) with APE, and in some circumstances future behaviour controlled with feedback (Crampin, 2006). This ‘*New Geophysics*’ is fundamentally different from conventional sub-critical geophysics and has such potentially important applications, where shear-wave splitting is the key observable, that the productive and profitable future of IWSA seems assured.

## Appendix B

### HOW IWSAs BEGAN

Evgeni Chesnokov and Stuart Crampin, in a casual conversation over a vodka-fuelled dinner during a three-months' collaboration in Moscow in the winter of 1979-1980, speculated on the need for an international workshop on seismic anisotropy to discuss and publicise our ideas. In those days, anisotropy needed encouragement. Several eminent geophysicists (who shall be nameless) poured scorn on the ridiculous idea of widespread stress-aligned anisotropy in the Earth. We needed to gather support to show we were not wasting our time. One of us (EC) happened to mention this idle speculation to Academician V. A. Magnitsky, who immediately lent his authority to the idea, and the first workshop was on its way. Organised by Chesnokov, Crampin, and Magnitsky, the first IWSA was in 1982 in the beautiful ancient city of Suzdal, USSR.

The workshop happened to be in the right place at the right time. Shear-wave splitting had just been reported in both crust and upper mantle, and there was more general interest in anisotropy in USSR than elsewhere at that time.

1IWSA set the pattern for future IWSAs. IWSAs tend to be comparatively small (usually less than 100 participants) and single session; tend to be in interesting and memorable places; and tend, after 2IWSA, to alternate across the Atlantic (and now the Pacific). IWSAs are from Monday to Friday, with Wednesday set aside for the usually-cultural excursions. These excursions are important, since many of the most productive ideas, as with the original idea for IWSA, come from casual exchanges away from lecture rooms.

The first IWSA, in 1982, was a resounding success and seemed worth continuing, so the next meeting was arranged four years hence in Moscow, 1986. This gap set another pattern. After 2IWA, biennial meetings were established. IWSAs are not repeated unless there is sufficient enthusiasm amongst participants at one meeting to organise the next in two years time. Thus IWSA meetings have built-in quality-assurance. When enthusiasm flags, IWSAs will fold, which will be an appropriate finale to what has been a productive and rewarding series of meetings. 13IWSA is scheduled for Colorado in 2008.

## REFERENCES

Supplementary references additional to those in the main text.

- Aster, R.C., Shearer, P.M. and Berger, J., 1990. Quantitative measurements of shear-wave polarizations at the Anza seismic network, Southern California: implications for shear wave splitting and earthquake prediction. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 12,449-12,473.
- Aster, R.C., Shearer, P.M. and Berger, J., 1991. Reply to Crampin, S., Booth, D.C., Evans, R., Peacock, S., Fletcher, J.B.. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96: 6415-6419.
- \*Babuška, V., 1984. P-wave velocity anisotropy in crystalline rocks. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 113-119.
- \*Babuška, V. and Pros, Z., 1984. Velocity anisotropy in granodiorite and *quartzite* due to the distribution of microcracks. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 121-127.
- \*Bamford, D. and Crampin, S., 1977. Seismic anisotropy - the state of the Art. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49; 1-8.
- \*Berthet, P., Williamson, P., Sexton, P. and Le Rousseau, J., 1998. 3D anisotropic post stack imaging on an offshore Africa case study. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 547-553.
- \*Berthet, P., Williamson, P., Sexton, P. and Mispel, J., 2001. Anisotropic prestack depth migration: an offshore Africa case study. In: Ikelle, L., Gangi, A. (Eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 6: 69-75.
- \*Bokelmann, G.H.R., 2001. A method for resolving small temporal variations of effective elastic properties. In: Hood, J.A. (Ed.), *Advances in Anisotropy, selected theory, modeling, and case studies*, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 5: 85-97.
- Booth, D.C. and Crampin, S., 1983. The anisotropic reflectivity theory. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 72: 755-766.
- Booth, D.C. and Crampin, S., 1985. Shear-wave polarizations on a curved wavefront at an isotropic free-surface. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 83: 31-45.
- \*Booth, D.C., Crampin, S. and Chesnokov, E.M., 1987. Preface. *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy*. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 261-263.
- \*Caddick, J., Kendall, J.M. and Raymer, D.G., 1998. Maslov shear-waveforms in highly anisotropic shales and implications for shear-wave splitting analysis. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 629-642.
- \*Červený, V. and Firbas, P., 1984. Numerical modelling and inversion of travel times of seismic body waves in inhomogeneous anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 41-51.
- Červený, V. and Pšenčík, I., 2005. Plane waves in viscoelastic anisotropic media – I. Theory. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 161: 197-212.
- \*Červený, V. and Pšenčík, I., 2006. Wave propagation in viscoelastic anisotropic media. *11IWSA, Expand. Abst., Geophysics*, 71: 18JF.
- Chapman, M., Zatsepin, S.V. and Crampin, S., 2002. Derivation of a microstructural poroelastic model. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 151: 427-451.
- \*Chichinina, T.I. and Oblentseva, I.R., 1998. Gyrotropy and anisotropy of rocks: similarities and differences. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 655-667.
- \*Christensen, N.I., 1984. The magnitude, symmetry and origin of upper mantle anisotropy based on fabric analyses of ultramafic tectonites. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 89-111.
- \*Crampin, S., 1984a. An introduction to wave propagation in anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 17-28.
- \*Crampin, S., 1987. The basis for earthquake prediction. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 331-347.
- \*Crampin, S., 1998. Shear-wave splitting in a critical crust: the next step. *Rev. Inst. Fran. Pet.*, 53: 749-763.
- Crampin, S., 1999. Calculable fluid-rock interactions. *J. Geol. Soc.*, 156: 501-514.
- Crampin, S., 2003. The New Geophysics: shear-wave splitting provides a window into the crack-critical rock mass. *The Leading Edge*, 22: 536-549.
- Crampin, S., 2004. The New Geophysics: implications for hydrocarbon recovery and possible contamination of time-lapse seismics. *First Break*, 22: 73-82.

- Crampin, S. and Peacock, S., 2005. A review of shear-wave splitting in the compliant crack-critical anisotropic Earth. *Wave Motion*, 41: 59-77.
- Crampin, S. and Peacock, S., 2006. A review of our current understanding of shear-wave splitting and common fallacies in interpretation. *Rev. Geophys.*, submitted.
- Crampin, S. and Taylor, D.B., 1971. The propagation of surface waves in anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 25: 71-87.
- Crampin, S. and Yedlin, M., 1981. Shear-wave singularities of wave propagation in anisotropic media. *J. Geophys.*, 49: 43-46.
- Crampin, S. and Zatsepin, S.V., 1997. Modelling the compliance of crustal rock. II - response to temporal changes before earthquakes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 129: 495-506.
- \*Crampin, S., Chesnokov, E.M. and Hipkin, R.G., 1984a. Seismic anisotropy - the state of the Art II. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 1-16.
- Crampin, S., Evans, R. and Üçer, S. B., 1985. Analysis of records of local earthquakes: the Turkish Dilatancy Projects (TDP1 and TDP2), *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 83, 1-16; and five following papers; 17-30; 31-45; 47-60; 61-73; and 75-92.
- Crampin, S., Volti, T., Chastin, S., Gudmundsson, A. and Stefánsson, R., 2002. Indication of high pore-fluid pressures in a seismically-active fault zone. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 151: F1-F5.
- Crampin, S., Peacock, S., Gao, Y. and Chastin, S., 2004. The scatter of time-delays in shear-wave splitting above small earthquakes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 156: 39-44.
- \*Evans, R., Beamish, D., Crampin, S. and Üçer, S.B., 1987. The Turkish Dilatancy Project (TDP3): multidisciplinary studies of a potential earthquake source region. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 265-286.
- \*Fuchs, K., 1977. Seismic anisotropy of the subcrustal lithosphere as evidence for dynamical processes in the upper mantle. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 167-179.
- \*Gajewski, D. and Pšenčík, I., 1987. Computation of high-frequency seismic wavefields in 3-D laterally inhomogeneous anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 91: 383-411.
- \*Gajewski, D. and Pšenčík, I., 1990. Vertical seismic profile synthetics by dynamic ray tracing in laterally varying layered anisotropic structures. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,301-11,315.
- \*Gao, Y. and Crampin, S., 2003. Temporal variations of shear-wave splitting in field and laboratory studies in China. *Spec. Issue, J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 279-287.
- Gao, Y. and Crampin, S., 2004. Observations of stress relaxation before earthquakes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 157: 578-582.
- Gao, Y., Wang, P., Zheng, S., Wang, M. and Chen, Y.-T., 1998. Temporal changes in shear-wave splitting at an isolated swarm of small earthquakes in 1992 near Dongfang, Hainan Island, Southern China. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 135: 102-112.
- Gao, Y., Hao, P. & Crampin, S., 2006. SWAS: a Shear-Wave Analysis System for semi-automatic measurement of shear-wave splitting above small earthquakes. *Phys. Earth. Planet. Inter.*, 159: 71-89.
- Garbin, H.D. and Knopoff, L., 1973. The compressional modulus of a material permeated by a random distribution of free circular cracks. *Q. Appl. Math.*, 31: 453-464.
- Garbin, H.D. and Knopoff, L., 1975a. The shear modulus of a material permeated by a random distribution of free circular cracks. *Q. Appl. Math.*, 33: 296-300.
- Garbin, H.D. and Knopoff, L., 1975b. Elastic modulus of a medium with liquid-filled cracks. *Q. Appl. Math.*, 33: 301-150.
- \*Garman, J., 2001. Phase shifts and caustics in anisotropic media. In: Ikelle, L., Gangi, A. (eds.), *Anisotropy 2000. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ.*, 6: 419-425.
- \*Gledhill, K.R., 1993. Shear waves recorded on close-spaced seismographs: II. The complex anisotropic structure of the Wellington Peninsula, New Zealand. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 299-314.
- \*Graham, G. and Crampin, S., 1993. Shear-wave splitting from regional earthquakes in Turkey. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 371-379.
- \*Grechka, V., 2006. Penny-shaped fractures revisited. 11IWSA, Expand. Abst., *Geophysics*, 71: 27JF.

- \*Helbig, K. and Rasolofosaon, P.N.J., 2001. A theoretical paradigm for describing hysteresis and nonlinear elasticity in arbitrary anisotropic rocks. In Ikelle, L., Gangi, A. (eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 6: 383-398.
- \*Holmes, G.M., Crampin, S. and Young, R.P., 1993. Preliminary analysis of shear-wave splitting in granite at the Underground Research Laboratory. *Manitoba, Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 140-152.
- Holmes, G.M., Crampin, S. and Young, R.P., 2000. Seismic anisotropy in granite at the Underground Research Laboratory, Manitoba. *Geophys. Prosp.*, 48: 415-435.
- Hudson, J.A., 1980. Overall properties of a cracked solid. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 88: 371-384.
- Hudson, J.A., 1981. Wave speeds and attenuation of elastic waves in material containing cracks. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 64: 133-150.
- Hudson, J.A., 1986. A higher order approximation to the wave propagation constants for a cracked solid. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 87: 265-274.
- \*Jenner, E., 2006. Time lapse behaviour of P-wave anisotropic attributes at Weyburn Field, Saskatchewan, Canada. 11IWSA, Expand. Abst., *Geophysics*, 71: 27JF-28JF.
- \*Kühnel, T. and Li, X.-Y., 2001. Can we separate the effects of anisotropy and structure from surface seismic data. In Hood, J.A. (ed.), *Advances in Anisotropy: Selected Theory, Modeling, and Case Studies*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 5: 47-75.
- \*Leary, P.C., 2006. Crosswell seismic evidence for  $\delta \approx 20\text{-}30\%$  anisotropy in oil-field sand/shale formations, NE China & W Colorado. 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., 55-56.
- \*Leary, P.C., Crampin, S. and McEvelly, T.V., 1990. Seismic fracture anisotropy in the Earth's crust: an overview. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,105-11,114.
- \*Leslie, J.M. and Lawton, D.C., 2001. P-traveltimes below a dipping anisotropic thrust sheet. In: Hood, J.A. (Ed.). *Advances in Anisotropy: Selected Theory, Modeling, and Case Studies*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 5: 77-84.
- \*Liu, E. and Crampin, S., 1990. Effects of the internal shear wave window: comparison with anisotropy induced splitting. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,275-11,281.
- \*Liu, E., Crampin, S. and Queen, J.H., 1991. Fracture detection using crosshole surveys and reverse vertical seismic profiles at the Conoco Borehole Test Facility, Oklahoma. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 449-463.
- \*Liu, Y., Booth, D.C., Crampin, S., Evans, R. and Leary, P., 1993b. Shear-wave polarizations and possible temporal variations in shear-wave splitting at Parkfield. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 380-390.
- \*Lou, M. and Crampin, S., 1991. Dispersion of guided waves in thin anisotropic waveguides, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 107: 545-555.
- \*Lynn, H.B., 1996. A geophysicist's view on seismic anisotropy. In Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Rathore, J.S. (eds.), *Seismic Anisotropy*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., 1-14.
- McEvelly, T.V., 1964. Central U.S. crust-upper mantle structure from Love and Rayleigh phase velocity inversion. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 54: 1997-2016.
- \*Martynov, V.N. and Mikhailenko, B.G., 1984. Numerical modelling of propagation of elastic waves in anisotropic inhomogeneous media for the half-space and the sphere. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 53-63.
- \*Niitsuma, H., Tsuyuki, K.-I. and Asanuma, H., 1993. Discrimination of split shear waves by wavelet transform. *Can. J. Expl. Geophys.*, 29: 106-113.
- Nuttli, O., 1961. The effect of the earth's surface on the S wave particle motion. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 51: 237-246.
- \*Petrashen, G.I. and Kashtan, B.M., 1984. Theory of body-wave propagation in inhomogeneous anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 76: 29-39.
- Raitt, R.W., Shor, G.G., Francis, T.J.G. and Morris, G.B., 1969. Anisotropy of the Pacific upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 74: 3095-3109.
- \*Rasolofosaon, P.N.J., 2006. The unreasonable success of Gassmann's Theory - revisited. 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., 86-87.
- Rathore, J.S., Fjær, E., Holt, R.M. and Renlie, L., 1995. P- and S-wave anisotropy of a synthetic sandstone with controlled crack geometry. *Geophys. Prosp.*, 43: 711-728.

- \*Rommel, B.E. and Tsvankin, I., 2001. Analytic description of P-wave ray direction and polarization in orthorhombic media. In Ikelle, L. and Gangi, A. (eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 6: 363-381.
- \*Savage, M., 2006. An overview of seismic anisotropy determined from earthquakes: from the inner cores to the crust: 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., i-ii.
- \*Schlue, J.W. and Knopoff, L., 1977. Shear-wave polarization anisotropy in the Pacific Basin. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 49: 145-165.
- \*Shepherd, T.J., 1990. Geological link between fluid inclusions, dilatent microcracks, and paleostress field. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,115-11,120.
- Shih, X.R., Meyer, R.P. and Schneider, J.F., 1989. An automated, analytical method to determine shear-wave splitting. *Tectonophysics*, 165: 271-278.
- Skjærstein, A. and Fjær, 2001. Angular dependent attenuation in a sandstone with parallel cracks. In: Hood, J.A. (Ed.), *Advances in Anisotropy, selected theory, modeling, and case studies*, Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 5: 205-215.
- \*Thomsen, L. and Dellinger, J., 2003. On shear-wave triplication in transversely isotropic media. Spec. Issue, *J. Appl. Geophys.*, 54: 289-296.
- Tsvankin, I.D., 1996. Anisotropic parameters and P-wave velocity for orthorhombic media. *Geophysics*, 62: 1292-1309.
- \*Tsvankin, I.D. and Chesnokov, E.M., 1990. Synthesis of body wave seismograms from point sources in anisotropic media. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95: 11,317-11,331.
- Volti, T. and Crampin, S., 2003a. A four-year study of shear-wave splitting in Iceland: 1. Background and preliminary analysis. In Nieuwland, D.A. (ed.), *New insights into structural interpretation and modelling*, Geol. Soc. Lond., Spec. Publ., 212: 117-133.
- Volti, T. and Crampin, S., 2003b. A four-year study of shear-wave splitting in Iceland: 2. Temporal changes before earthquakes and volcanic eruptions. In Nieuwland, D.A. (ed.), *New insights into structural interpretation and modelling*, Geol. Soc. Lond., Spec. Publ., 212: 135-149.
- \*Williamson, P. and Maocec, E., 2001. Estimation of local anisotropy using polarisations and travel times from the Oseberg 3D VSP. In Ikelle, L. and Gangi, A. (eds.), *Anisotropy 2000*. Soc. Expl. Geophys., Open File Publ., 6: 339-348. .
- Zatsepin, S. V. and Crampin, S., 1997. Modelling the compliance of crustal rock: I - response of shear-wave splitting to differential stress. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 129: 477-494.
- \*Zeng, X., Han, K. and Liu, E., 2006. Investigations on the limitation of Hudson's theory by boundary element modelling. 12IWSA, Expand. Abst., 59-60.
- \*Papers from IWSA Proceedings.